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## I-INTRODUCTION

At the present time it is not necessary to offer either explanation or excuse for the use of renation as a guide to the phylogeny of a group of insects, particularly of any of the Neuropteroids, the exccedingly rich and varied veining of which has been the basis of many excellent pieces of work. The purpose of this paper is to make the fullest possible use of wing-veins as a means of interpreting relationships and as a method of identifying the genera of one of the suborders of the Odonata, the Zygoptera. It must be remembered, however, that venation is not the only index to genealogy, nor perhaps always the most reliable, but it is one of the most evident and fundamental and therefore most valuable methods which we have to enable us to determine relationships. In some cases, without doubt, a study of genitalia and morphology will throw more light on the situation and even change some of our conceptions which have been arrived at from the study of the wings only.

The nomenclature of the veins of the Zygoptera and their homologies to those of other groups have been thoroughly worked out, but, since the days of Baron de Selys, no attempt has been made to consider the whole suborder in detail and to make keys for identification. A word of explanation may be permissible in regard to the keys herewith submitted; I have examined such material as I have had access to in an endeavor to make them as generally applicable as possible; but in some cases descriptions only could be used, since during the past two years correspondence with many European workers and hence the securing of many desirable figures have been impossible. I feel, however, that the paper is justifiable, if for no other reason than to have in one place in the literature such wing-photographs as have been collected in the course of the work, the venation of many genera not having been published before.

## Acknowledgements

To the many who have kindly contributed material and suggestions for this study my gratitude is due and is thankfully given. This problem was undertaken at the suggestion and under the direction of Professor James Ci. Needham, whose unfailing interest

```
MEM. AM, ENT. SOC., 3.
```

and valuable criticism have made possible what has been accomplished. He has been very generous in the time and abundant material which he has given me. Mr. C. H. Kennedy, also of Cornell U'niversity, who has been working on the same phylogenetic problem from another method of approach, has never hestitated in giving me suggestions arrived at from his study, has made several drawings for me and brought material from museums which I was not able to visit. Dr. P. P. Calvert and Dr. Henry Skinner of Philadelphia very generously loaned specimens and permitted the use of the extensive collections at the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia. Mr. Carl Ilg of Philadelphia kindly copied several descriptions which were not available at Cornell. Mr. E. B. ITilliamson of Bluffton, Incliana, loaned valuable material; Dr. Samuel Henshaw of Cambridge sent material for study and permitted Mr. E. Avery Richmond of Cornell to photograph several specimens at the Museum of Comparative Zoology. Mr. Rolla P. Currie and Miss Currie were very kind and helpful in allowing me the use of the collections at the National Museum. Dr. IIT. J. Holland and Mr. Hugo Kahl of the Carnegie Museum permitted Mr. Kennedy to bring several specimens to Ithaca and to study others at Pittsburg. Mr. Herbert Campion and Mr. ${ }^{2}$. VI. Campion sent several photographs of specimens at the British Museum. Dr. F. Ris of Rheinau, Switzerland, kindly sent proof-sheets of an article expressing his views on the venation of the Zygoptera, and Mr. R. J. Tillyard of New South W'ales, Australia, sent several papers dealing with the same subject and with new and rare Australian Zygoptera. To all of these contributors is due much of the success in the completeness which it has been possible to secure.

## Nomenclature of the Wing-Veins

The Comstock-Needham system of naming the veins has come into very general use among workers on the Odonata since the Gencalogic Study by Professor Needham in 1903; and it is to be retained in all its essentials as presented in his paper. Tillyard, however, (IgI4) showed that the vein formerly known as $A$ is in reality partly a secondary structure built back toward the base of the wing from one of the so-called "cubito-anal" cross-veins and
that the vein $A$ at the base of the wing is fused with Cu, but crosses the space back of C'u and then proceeds as formerly thought toward MA. He therefore proposes the name "anal-crossing" (Ac) for this apparent cross-vein, and the abbreviations Ab for the bridge toward the wing-base, and $A^{\prime}$ for the distal portion of the anal vein. This system will be used in this paper when especial attention is called to some part of this vein, otherwise A alone will be used to designate the anal vein in general; the sign Ist A is not necessary since the Zygoptera possess only one anal vein. I agree with \r. Tillyard that the sign A followed by an asterisk as used by Dr. Ris is unfortunate; when I read one of his descriptions I find myself involuntarily looking to the bottom of the page for the footnote which I always associate with the use of the asterisk.

Mr. Tillyard's contention (19I5) and that of Dr. Ris (I9I6a) that the Z ygoptera present a different condition than that of the Anisoptera in the branching of the radius do not seem well founded. To be sure none of the nymphs of the Zygoptera that have yet been examined show the trachea $R_{s}$ crossing over that of $\Lambda_{1+2}$ as do the Anisoptera, however it seems inconceivable that the vein $R_{s}$ of the Anisoptera can have in the $\%$ ygoptera a vein so completely analogous in position and yet not be homologous. Mr. Tillyard's name "median sector" is a matter of words only; it indicates no homology to the veins of any other order, although in retaining the same name for the different branches of media he admits that the media has exactly the same position in both suborders, which state cannot be true if the radius does not present the same condition in both. Professor Needham (1917) has shown how many of Mr. Tillyard's arguments can be used to support the opposite view of the case. I therefore have no hesitation in following the usual system of nomenclature.

As an example of the Agrionidae the genus Igrion (Calopteryx) may be used with the abbreviations given in fig. A. These abbreviations are given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}=\text { costa, } \mathrm{Sc}=\text { subcosta; } \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { radius-one, } \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=\text { radical sector; } \\
& \mathrm{I}_{1}=\text { media-one, } \mathrm{M}_{2}=\text { media-two, } \mathrm{M}_{3}=\text { media-three, } \mathrm{M}_{s}=\text { media- } \\
& \text { four, } \mathrm{M}_{1_{2}}=\text { the longest sector between } \mathrm{M}_{1} \text { and } \mathrm{I}_{2} ; \mathrm{Cu}=\text { cubitus, } \\
& C u_{1}=\text { cul)itus-one, } \quad C u_{2}=\text { cubitus-two, } C u_{2_{a}}=a \text { branch of } C u_{2} \\
& \text { MEM. MI. ENT. SOC., } 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

arising just beyond MA; $A=$ first anal vein, $\mathrm{Ac}=$ anal crossing, $\mathrm{Ab}=$ anal bridge, $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=$ anal vein from Ac to $\mathrm{MA} ; \mathrm{N}=$ nodus, $\mathrm{Sn}=$ subnodus; St =stigma, B.S. = basal space, B.R.S. $=$ basal radial space; $\mathrm{An}=$ antenodal cross-veins, $\mathrm{Pn}=$ postnodal crossveins, $\mathrm{Ar}=$ arculus, $\mathrm{MA}=$ medio-anal link; $\mathrm{Q}=$ quadrangle, $\mathrm{Sq}=$ subquadrangle.


Fig. A. Venation of Agrion (Calopteryx).
These terms are all familiar ones and need for the most part no definition here. The subquadrangle is that space between Ac and MA, and between Cu and A , or Cu and the hind margin of the wing when $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ is not present. Ac cannot always be located because of the numerous cross-veins between Cu and A to be found in some genera, but can generally be distinguished by its obliquity. The term medio-anal link is one defined by Mr. Williamson (1913) "as the cross vein forming the distal end of the quadrangle and that part of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ opposed to Cu , and placed transversely to the long axis of the wing." Supplementary sectors are those longitudinal reins present between the principal veins. The quadrangle, subquadrangle, basal space, anc̣ basal radial space are said to be crossed when they have cross veins, and are free when without cross-veins. The basal radial space is open when $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ does not touch R , and closed when $M_{1+2}$ fuses with $R$. Of the antenodals there are two series: those of the first or costal series, i.e. those between C and Sc ; and those of the second or subcostal series, i.e. between Sc and R.


Fig. B. Venation of Argiocnemis.
As an example of a wing of the Coenagrionidae, Argionnemis may be used, for which see fig. B. The notations are largely as in fig. A, except that there is no basal radial space present and that the antenodel spaces are few enough to be numbered; the first antenodal space is that between the base of the wing and the first antenodals, the second antenodal space is that between the first and second antenodals, and the third antenodal space is that between the second antenodals and the nodus. $\mathrm{Cu}_{2 \mathrm{a}}$ is not present.

Explanation of Figures
In referring to figures, $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, etc. are the text figures, while figures I, 2, 3, etc. are to be found in the plates at the end of the paper.

## II-A DISCLSSION OF THE PHYLOGENY

Passing now from a review of the system of nomenclature used, the next step is the consideration of the phylogeny of the Zygoptera and their relationship to other groups.

## The Anisozygoptera

In his treatise on fossil insects, Handlirsch (1906-1908) created as a new suborder the Anisozygoptera, for many fossil forms and for the living genus Palaeophlebia, to which he gave the name Neopalaeophlebia, since the former name was preoccupied. Dr. Calvert (1903), however, had already used the name Epiophlebia for Palueophlebia and it is his name that must. be used. This genus according to Handlirsch falls as readily into the Anisoptera as the Zygoptera and to keep the two groups distinct, a third intermediate one is necessary. Epiophlebia (fig. 1) is the only known survivor of a large suborder of Mesozoic insects and is

[^0]therefore of considerable importance phylogenetically. Hence, while it is not now one of the Zygoptera, it deserves discussion in this paper.

Aside from its renational primitiveness it has been shown by Schmidt (1915) to be intermediate between the two modern suborders in the structure of the male genitalia of the second and third abclominal segments. Mr. Kennedy informs me that this is so obviously the case that only a separate suborder will suffice to show its points of difference. The broad area between $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ just beyond MA; the shape of the quadrangle, especially that of the hind wing where a cross-vein in the right position would give the supertriangle and triangle of the Anisoptera the zigzag condition of the supplementary sectors,-all these are venational features which justify its position as something quite distinct from the Zygoptera. In itself it does not need to be taken as a form from which the Zygoptera and Anisoptera have descended, in fact it cannot be so considered, but it does show affinities with both and, as a survival of the ancestral group from which our two modern suborders have sprung, it is worthy of note.

## The Zygoptera Proper

The Zygoptera may therefore evidently be considered as derivatives of the Anisozygoptera. They may themselves be divided into two families, which were long made subfamilies by workers on Odonata. It would be very desirable and lead to much less confusion if these families could retain the old names; Calopterygidae and Agrionidae, but since most writers on the group have recently followed Kirby's Catalogue in using the genus names Agrion and Coenagrion rather than Caloptery: and Agrion, and since Muttkowski (1910) has brought additional evidence to show that these are the names to be used, I shall follow their example and speak of the old group) Calopteryginae as Agrionidae and of the former Agrioninae as Coenagrionidae.

Of the generic names proposed, about one hundred and eighty now seem valid and are recognized in the keys hereto appended. Of these about forty come in the first family, the Agrionidae, which is the more generalized of the families and ends in forms very closely related to some of the Coenagrionidae. The two
families, in fact, do not form a dichotomy, lout the one leads to the other and is connected with it by so many genera that the dividing line is in some cases difficult to find. In a general way the former one is characterized by the presence of several to many antenodals and by cross-veins generally being present in the quadrangle and subquadrangle. $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ separates from $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ nearer to the arculus than to the subnodus, and the nodus is far out in the wing, at from one-third to one-half of the length of the wing. These tendencies in venation are all fairly constant and, as will be shown later, indicate a rather primitive condition. The Coenagrionidas, on the other hand, have two antenoflals generally and no cross veins in the quadrangle or subquadrangle, with but few exceptions. In one line only, that of the Lestinae and Lestorlinae, does $\mathrm{XI}_{3}$ retain its original condition of branching off from $\backslash_{1-2}$ near the arculus, in the other groups its point of origin migrates outward. The nodus, however, is drawn toward the base of the wing and ranges in position from about one-serenth to one-third of the length of the wing. The more detailed account of the exart steps in phylogeny may better be discussed under the families and subfamilies.

## The Agrionidae

As was said before, this family includes the old group (Calop)teryginae which was divided loy de Selys into five legions: Thore, Calopterw, Euphaca, Libellago, and Amphipterw. Venationally these five groups are better arranged in three subfamilies, as was done by Professor Needham (Io(sふa), which I shall call : I'olythorinate, to include the legion Thore; Igrioninae, for the legion Culopter wh, and the Epallaginae, for the last three legions. To these must now be added a fourth subfamily, the Disparocyphinae for the genus Disparocypha (fig. 37), recently described ley Dr. Ris (IgIGh). This very remarkable genus will be discusied later with the reasons. for its segregation from the others.

The affinities of the Igrionidae with Epiophlebiu (fig. 1) are evident enough: the large number of antenodals, the origin of $\mathrm{XI}_{3}$ far before the subnotus, and the location of the nodus far out in the wing.


Fig. C. Proposed Phylogenetic Tree for the Zygoptera.
The Polythorinae
The most sharply differentiated group of the Agrionidae, in that it cliffers most from the others, is the Tolythorinae. In it M does not descend the arculus to any extent, so that the quadrangle is very much wider proximally than distally and is concave anteriorly.

This peculiar little group of four genera is a rather compact one, but shows within itself the ever present tendencies of specialization to be found in the other subfamilies. It retains a rich venation with many cross-veins, but has these fewer in number in the higher genera, has petiolation become more advanced, has some of the sectors tend to become attached to the principal veins, and has $\mathrm{II}_{2}$ becoming branched from $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ beyond the subnodus. Polythore (fig. 2) and Euthore (fig. 3) are the two more generalized, Cora (fig. 5) and Chalcopteryx (fig. 4) the two more specialized genera. The fact that the Polythorinae are discussed first does not mean that they are the most generalized Zygoptera; their quadrangle and the continuing of the direction of $\mathrm{M}_{1-3}$ by $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ rather than by $M_{3}$. as well as their petiolation, gainsay this. However, they are the most distinct group and must have separated from the others at rather a low level.

The Agrioninae
Venationally this group is quite distinct from the Epallaginae; however, Schmidt (1915) working on the male genitalia, finds there no basis for such a division. The Agrioninae consists of the Vestalinae as defined by Dr. Needham (igoza) and can be distinguished from the Epallaginae and IDisparocyphinae by the following venational tendencies: $X_{l_{a}}$ has a course parallel to that of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, the stigma becomes diffuse and finally atrophies altogether; and the vein Cu in most forms has a branch C $u_{2}$ running toward the hind margin of thewing. Vestalis (fig. IS), Iteterina (fig. Ig) and Lais (fig. 20) do not possess this branch, but the facts that the stigma may be lost, that $\mathrm{X}_{1 a}$ is parallel to $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, that the quadrangle becomes convex above, that some of the sectors tend to become attached to the longitudinal reins, and that there is no petiolation, and but slight reduction in the number of antenodals, indicate affinities with the Igrioninae rather than the Epallaginae. The subfamily Agrioninae has its own methoels of specialization, but its line ends in itself and shows no relationship to higher forms.

Aside from the I'estalis-IIeterina-Lais group, which is the most distinct, the remaining genera can be divided into those which have C $\mathrm{u}_{2_{2}}$ running perpendicular to the hind margin of the wing or toward the base of the wing, and those in which it is bent away
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from the base of the wing. The former group begins with such genera as Archineura (fig. 8) and Echo (fig. 7) and continuing through Umma (fig. 9), Sapho (fig. io) and Agrion (fig. I I), ends with Matrona (fig. I3), Matronoides (fig. 12), and Neurobasis (fig. 14). The latter group consists of Mnais (fig. I5), Psolodesmus (fig. 16), and Phaon (fig. I7), which approach Vestalis (fig. I8) in some respects; for example in the attachment of sectors, in the convexity of the quadrangle above, and in the general wing proportion and number of cross-veins.

## The Epallaginae

The discussion now leads to perhaps some of the most generalized of living Zygoptera: the genera Pseudophaea (fig. 2I) and Dysphaea (fig. 22). It is here that one must look for the beginning of the line which learls to the higher family by reduction in the number of cross-veins and of the supplementary sectors, by the location of $\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$ halfway between $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, and especially by the bending of $M_{3}$ and $R_{s}$ away from $M_{1+2}$ so that it is the latter vein rather than $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ which continues the direction of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2+3}$. All the genera so far discussed under the Zygoptera, except the Polythorinae, have $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ in line with $\mathrm{M}_{1+2+3}$, but in the higher members of the Epallaginae it is no longer the case. Petiolation too proceeds to a high degree of advancement and the stigma persists. Beginning then, with Pseudophaea (fig. 21) and Dysphaea (fig. 22) a series can be arranged (as has been done in the key to the Epallaginae) which proceeds by reduction and petiolation through Bayadera (fig. 23), Epallage (fig. 24), Anisopleura (fig. 25) and Rhinocypha (fig. 26) to Micromerus (fig. 28) where the new condition is first evident as regards the base of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$. Rhinocypha (fig. 26), Libellago (fig. 27), and Micromerus (fig. 28) form rather a compact and distinct group with $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ arching forward and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ backward, more so than in the related genera and with $R_{s}$ and $M_{2}$ parallel at their tips and with two prominent sectors between. Cyanocharis (fig. 29), Caliphaea, Ileliocharis (fig. 30), Dicterias (fig. 32) and Neocharis (fig. 3I) carry on the rechuction process until in Devadatta (fig. 33) and Amphipteryx (fig. 34) the continuing of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2+3}$ by $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ is again evident and firmly established. Diphlebia (fig. 34) is enough
like a low form of the Lestinae to be taken for one but for the presence of too many antenodals; and in Philoganga (fig. 36) is to be seen the beginning of the tendency for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ to arise nearer the subnodus. Thus the erolutionary steps in the Epallaginae are in marked contrast to those in the Agrioninae.

The Disparocyphinae
The genus Disparocypha (fig. 37) is placed by Ris in the legion Libellago and it is clearly related to that group. But its characters of difference are so great that to be consistent with the arrangement in the higher sulfamilies, it must be placed in a separate one. It differs from the members of the legion libellago as much as the Protoncura group (loes from the Coenagrion group or the genus Lestoidea (fig. +5 ) from Lestes. C $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ is short, not extending to the level of the subnodus; $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ is reduced to a cross-vein; I ends in the hind margin of the quadrangle; $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ is almost perfectly straight in its entire length ; and the stigma is very much broader distally than proximally.

This reduction of $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$, which seems to be a very highly specialized tendency, will be discussed again under Lestoidea (fig. 45) and Protoneura. Its occurrence in three widely separated groups is indeed an interesting parallelism.

The Coenagrionidae
As the two families now stand they can conveniently be separated by placing in the Agrionidae those genera with five or more antenodals and with $M_{3}$ arising nearer the arculus than the subnodus. Of the Coenagrionidae those with more than two antenodals have $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ arising near the subnodus and often have the nodus very near the base of the wing, while those with $\mathrm{HF}_{3}$ arising near the arculus have only two antenodals. Now from this state of affairs it is evident that the division into two families is somewhat artificial and yet, I think, it is a tenable one and it certainly is convenient. The higher family includes only more specialized forms than the lower; the only difficulty in the whole situation is that our series from one to the other is too complete to make the divisions clearly marked. From the phylogenetic standpoint this condition is of course fortunate. Diphlebia (fig. 34) and related genera show very evident affinties to the Lestinae among the
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Coenagrionidae, especially in the position of the base of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and of $R_{s}$ and in the petiolation and general arrangement of veins. It connects the Lestinae to the Epallaginae through the genera Pseudolestes and Ortholestes, and differs from these forms chiefly in the number of antenodals. On the other hand, the Lestinae are related very closely to the Megapodagrioninae by Rhipidolestes (fig. 52). Yet in this same group of Megapodagrioninae it is necessary to include Thaumatoneura (fig. 5I), which with its number of antenodals and abundant sectors indicates a descent from some Agrionid, possibly one like Philoganga (fig. 36) in which the quadrangle is short, and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ arise at some little distance beyond the arculus. The retraction of the nodus in a form like Philoganga would bring about a condition comparable to that in Thaumatoneura, except for the numerous sectors and many crossveins of the latter. As will be shown later, Thatmatoneura in turn leads to the Pseudostigmatinae and to the other Megapodagrioninae, which by reduction approach the Coenagrioninae and these in their turn are connected with the Protoneurinae. After this additional evidence is presented, it will be seen that it is a very logical thing to consider the Coenagrionidae merely a specialized offshoot or number of offshoots from the Agrionidae, as arranged in the tree in Figure C.

In this connection the following quotation is of interest. Tillyard (1914b) in discussing the classification of the Zygoptera declares, "It becomes now more than ever apparent that the Selysian division into Calopterygidae and Agrionidae is quite untenable as a natural dichotomy, more than this, it is pretty clear also that the Zygoptera are not like the Anisoptera, derived from an original pure line descent. Triangle-formation most certainly started once; and, however far back new fossil discoveries may take us as regards the first formation of the triangle, there can be no cloubt about the origin of all Inisoptera from that single line of descent, which Palacontology already places as far back as the Trias, and which probally began in the Permian period. Nost of the Zygoptera (certainly all those with regular quadrilaterals) must have branched away from the Anisoptera-line before this. But who can tell how
many separate branchings took place, even amongst this one portion of the Suborder? The origin of the tribe Agrionini is doubtful, for their acute quadrilateral might yet be proved to be the reduced remnant of an originally weak Anisopterid triangle-formation. Finally, standing out clear from all the rest as the most recent offshoot from the Anisopterid line, we see the Epiophlebia-Synlestes-Lestes line of descent, which branched off from that line not very far from the beginnings of the Gomphinae, and whose sharply angulated quadrilateral is almost certainly an A nisopterid remnant."

Following out his theory, Mr. Tillyard places this "line of descent" in a family Lestidae and divides it into the following subfamilies: Epiophlebinae, Lestinae, Synlestinae, and Heterophlebinae, the last named containing fossils only. It is now known that Epiophlebia (fig. I) is far from being near the Lestinae not only venationally, but on the basis of the genitalia, it is of far too generalized a nature to be placed near them, although an oblique vein between $M_{2}$ and $R_{s}$ is present in both subfamilies and many of the sectors are very zigzag. The Zygoptera cannot be considered as derived from the. Anisoptera, but form a dichotomy with them, both arising from the ancient Anisozygoptera. The argument that all Zygoptera with the regular quadrangle are primitive is also unfounded; the regularity of the quadrangle, that is, its squareness distally, is not so fundamental a thing as it might seem. Those forms which are perhaps the most highly specialized, those in which $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ is reduced to a cross-vein, have the square quadrangle; yet this condition arises independently three times, in Disparocypha (fig. 37), in Lestoidea (fig. 45) and in the Protoneurinae. I cannot, therefore, agree with any of the views expressed in the above quotation as regards the phylogeny of the Zygoptera. This subject can, however, be more fully discussed in treating each subfamily independently:

The Lestinae
The Lestinae, as has been said before, include those Coenagrionidae in which the vein $\mathrm{XI}_{3}$ arises nearer the arculus than the sub)nodus, therefore it comprises the legion Lestes of de Selys. This feature is an ancient and primitive one and specialization in this ${ }^{2}$ Agrionini is Coenagrionidae of this paper.
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group has been in a different direction from that in the other Coenagrionidae. The presence of the oblique vein between $M_{2}$ and $R_{s}$ is of course a character recalling the condition to be found in the Anisoptera, but it seems to be a rather dangerous one on which to depend too extensively if it means that Epiophlebia must be placed in the same category as the Lestinac. Pseudolestes (fig. 38) and Ortholestes (fig. 39) have the general venation of the Lestinae and are intermediate between the higher Lestine genera and Diphlebia (fig. 34). Yet they do not possess the oblique vein, at least it is not distinct. Cannot this cropping out of a primitive feature be a secondary matter, a reappearance? It is evidently so in the case of the square quadrangle of Protoneura, Lestoidea, and Disparocypha. A single character is dangerous to use too far to the exclusion of others, although it may sometimes seem necessary to do so. The oblique vein is present also in Chlorolestes (fig. 66) and Synlestes (fig. 63) yet the rest of the venation does not suggest the Lestinae. This oblique vein is of course present in those genera in the nymphs of which the trachea for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is joined to the trachea for $\mathrm{M}_{2}$. Whether this attachment of the trachea is to be taken as more or less important than the adult venation is the question. It seems reasonable to lay more stress on the latter since in it several other characters unite in placing Chlorolestes and Synlestes in the Megapodagrioninae.

Pseudolestes (fig. 38), Ortholestes (fig. 39) and Rhipidolestes (fig. $52)$ show a connection between the Lestinae and Megapodagrioninae, and these two subfamilies can be considered as offshoots from the same general region of the Agrionidae. Their close affinity warrants the retention of the subfamily name for the Lestinae rather than the use of a family name. Of the forms included here under Lestinae Pseudolestes (fig. 38) is undoubtedly the most primitive, in having the quadrangle like that of Diphlebia (fig. 34) and in its large number of supplementary sectors. Ortholestes (fig. 39) comes next and is followed by the Lestine genera proper: Archilestes (fig. fo), Megalestes (fig. +1), Orolestes, Platylestes, Lestes (fig. 44), Sympycna (fig. 42), etc. The general tendency is that of reduction, combined with straightening of the veins at the tips. Nany sectors and veins are somewhat zigzag, and there develops
also a narrowing and sharpening of the quadrangle distally. Petiolation does not proceed very far, never to the level of the arculus.

The Lestoidinae
Mr. Tillyard (19I2a) described as a new genus a very peculiar form which he called Lestoidea (fig. +5) and for which he proposed a new legion of the same name. This remarkable insect has decided Lestine affinities in the location of the base of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$, but has the vein $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ reduced to a cross-vein and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ but one cell long. Yet in addition to the above characters it is distinguished from all Protoneurinae by the presence of two sectors between $M_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{1, n}$ 。

The decrease in length of $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ is one of the most extreme tendencies in specialization occurring in the \%ygoptera and its origin in the various groups is an interesting parallelism. As always happens when $C u_{2}$ is thus reduced $M A$ is straightened and the quadrangle ends squarely. The retention of the two sectors hetween $M_{1}$ and $I_{1 a}$ is rather remarkable, in view of the fact that all others are gone and that in none of the Lestinae are there any long sectors between these two veins. Lestoidea must therefore have arisen from some rather ancient form and is distinct enough to merit a legion for itself or in the system here used, a sulfamily:

The Megapodagrioninae
Here are included the gencra ordinarily considered as belonging to the legion Podagrion of de Selys; this is the group which leads to the higher members of the Coenagrionidae. The genus most distinct from the others is undoubtedly Thaumatoneura (fig. 51), which has been given much attention by various workers. IncLachlan (1897) and Focrster (1909) referred it to the Agrionidae; Needham (I903a) spoke of its affinities to the Pseudostigmatinae and Calvert (1913) placed it in the Megapodagrioninae. All agreed that it was an intermediate form. The safest course to follow seems to be to leave it in the Megapodagrioninae, but to call attention to the fact that it shows an undoubted approach to the Pseudostigmatinae. It is unquestionably one of the Coenagrionidae although it does have more than two antenodals. Veurolestes (fig. 62), Trineuragrion (fig. 54), and often Neuragrion have three antenodals as the normal condition, so that the old idea of
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two as being an infallible means of determining Coenagrionidae must give way, as has been stated by previous workers. The retraction of the nodus is a more fundamental difference than the retention of four or five cross-veins and must place the genus in the higher family. Now as to its subfamily; it is convenient to limit the Pseudostigmatinae to those genera in which the stigma becomes diffused and which have $\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{la}}$ following $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ rather than $\mathrm{M}_{1}$. If this is done, Thaumatoneura may be placed near the base of the Pseudostigma line. I can readily see, however, that its general rein-arrangement, its large postnodal area, its broad area behind $\mathrm{C}_{11}$ and its retracted nodus may indicate a condition near enough to that of Megaloprepus (fig. 46) to warrant placing the two in the same subfamily.

To return to the general discussion of the Megapodagrioninac, it may be said that Rhipidolestes (fig. 52) in the position of the base of $\backslash_{3}$ shows a decided affinity to the Lestinae, to separate it from which is perhaps as artificial an arrangement as to divide Thaumatoneura from the Pseudostigmatinae. The extreme petiolation and long narrow quadrangle, however, of Rhipidolestes throw it in this subfamily, which may be said really to begin with Podopteryx (fig. 53). From there on, the adult venation at least, gives a well connected series which has been carefully discussed by Dr. Calvert (i9I3). As nearly as they can be made to do so the keys show my interpretation of its evolution. $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ at their points of attachment move outward to beyond the subnodus, the quadrangle becomes elongate, the wing reaches the extreme of petiolation, and the number of supplementary sectors is reduced to none other than $\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$.

Tillyard (1914), on the basis of the presence of the oblique vein formed the Synlestinae as a group including such genera as Chlorolestes (fig. 66) and Synlestes (fig. 63), and placed them with the Lestinae. But, as has been shown, this was a dangerous undertaking. According to Schmidt (1915) the genera Chlorolestes (fig. 66) and Synlestes (fig. 63) belong to the Lestinae on the basis of the structure of the male genitalia; his figures, however, fail to show any very close connection. Venationally they are not to be placed in the Lestinae; $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ arises very near to or at the subnodus although
the general wing proportions are those of the Lestinae, in which it arises far before the subnodus: petiolation has proceedect to the level of half the length of the quadrangle; in the Lestinae it does not reach the arculus. It is true that $\left(\mathrm{Cu}_{1}\right.$ arches forward just beyond MA as in Lestes (fig. +4), but that is the case in some other genera as well; for example Chorismagrion (fig. 69) and Trineuragrion (fig. 54) and Dimeragrion (fig. 67) to a certain extent. Rhipidolestes (fig. 52) in its venation is nearer to Lestes (fig. $4+$ ), but Schmidt considers it much nearer Megapodagrion (fig. 57) itself on the basis of the penis.

I have spoken before of the progressive reduction of the sectors in the Negapodagrioninae until all except $\mathrm{XI}_{\text {la }}$ are gone; when this state is arrived at. the condition to be found in the Coenagrioninae is present. But there are two genera which agree with the Coenagrioninae in this respect, but which seem better retained in the Megapodagrioninae. These are Chorismagrion (fig. 69) and Perilestes (fig. 7o); their extreme petiolation, the remote origin of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $M_{3}$, the arching forward of $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ beyond $M A$, and the heary stigma of Chorismagrion are indicative of affinity to Symlestes (fig. 63) and Heteragrion (fig. 68) and through its likeness to Chorismagrion, Perilestes is to be placed at the apex of the Megapodagrion line.

Just the fact, then, of the presence or absence of supplementary sectors is not a safe criterion by which to separate the Megapodagrioninae from the higher forms. It is indeed an accompaniment of specialization and reduction and is a comvenient character to use. Generally mere presence or absence is not so retiable a matter as might be supposed, since two forms without a given character may have arisen independently from two very distinct ancestors, one with the character present in one way, another with it in quite a different way.

It is probable, however, that the forms placed by de Selys in the legions Agrion and Platycnemis have been derived from others. closely related to Megapodagrion (hig. 57), in which petiolation has not reached the level of Ac and in which $\mathrm{Nt}_{3}$ arises before the subnoths. I wing like that of Megapodagrion with the supplementary sectors removed would be much like Platyenemis (fig. it). Perhaps the distinction between the legion Podagrion on the one hand,
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and Platyonemis and Coenagrion on the other is hardly great enough to merit their being placed in separate subfamilies, but it may be done, if it is kept in mind that the groups are close to each other and that the second is an offshoot from the first.

So much may suffice for a discussion of the Megapodagrioninae; those groups to which it has given origin may now be discussed.

## The Pseudostigmatinae

As here used, this subfamily includes the Anormostigmatini of Kirby's Catalogue, and is characterized by a diffusion of the stigma, by having $\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$ parallel to $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ rather than to $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, and by a very retracted nodus with a long postnodal portion which has many crossreins and with the longitudinal veins running far out into the wing. These insects are as specialized in a venational way as in their elongate abdomens and peculiar habit of life, the nymphs living in the small pools in epiphytic Bromeliads. If it were not for the genus Thaumatoneura (fig. 5I) they would stand so far removed from all other Zygoptera as perhaps to deserve a separate family.

Megaloprepus (fig. 46), however, the most generalized of the five genera, has so many points of similarity to Thaumatoneura that there can be no doubt of their relationship. They agree in the width and general proportions of the wings, in the presence of abundant sectors and the position of the nodus. Megaloprepus shows a more generalized condition than the remaining genera in the short quadrangle, the broad area back of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ with many attached sectors, and in the fact that the stigma while diffuse is not so much so as in the others.

Within the group the same tendencies of specialization appear as in other groups: loss of sectors, narrowing of the wing, reduction in number of cross-veins, straightening of veins, clongation of the quadrangle, and petiolation of the wing. Next to Megaloprepus comes Microstigma (fig. +7), with the quadrangle about five times as long as wide and with $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ forking more than half the distance from the submodus to the tip of the wing. In these respects these two genera differ from Anomisma (fig. +8), but agree with it in having many secondary sectors and with $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$, bearing many branches. Anomisma is peculiar in being a genus of Coenagrionidae having a crossed quadrangle, Psendostigma (fig. 49) and Mecistogaster (fig.
50) have few sectors and no branches to Cuı. Mecistogaster is the most specialized of all, with a single row of cells behincl C $u_{2}$ and between $\mathrm{M}_{1 a}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. It is interesting to note that in this group the tendency is for the nodus to approach a more normal position in the wing, so that in Mecistogaster (fig. 50 ) it is at one-fourth the length of the wing, while in Megaloprepus (fig. 46) it is at one-serenth.

## The Coenagrioninae

Baron de Selys created two legions, Platycnemis and $A$ grion, for the reception of genera which fall, it is true, into two groups, but these have no definite nor sharply defined differences. In general it can be said that the quadrangle of the first of these groups has a relatively long upper side, hence that the outer angle is not very acute, but there are exceptions to this, as for example in Leptocnemis (fig. 80); in the second legion there are exceptions to the obliquity of the quadrangle, since some of the more specialized forms, as Hemiphlebia (fig. 106) and Agriocnemis (fig. IOt), have a fairly regular one. For that reason a recent key to subfamilies of the Zygoptera does not hold as applied in the following quotation:
"Quadrilateral regular.............. . Subfamily Platycneminae. Quadrilateral irregular, usually with distal angle sharply acute. Subfamily Agrioninae." ${ }_{3}$
Now these two groups have many points in common; they have no supplementary sectors other than $\prod_{1 a}$, they have the stigma rather short as compared with that of the Megapodagrioninae and they have $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ well developed. Since they do grade so from one into the other, and are so fundamentally related, I cannot follow the above example and place them in separate subfamilies; in fact, I am not absolutely sure that as many such divisions ought to be recognized as I have already done in this paper, that is, whether these "subfamily" groups are really equivalent to subfamilies in other orders.

This placing both in one group does not indlicate, however, that my feeling is that the legions Platyonemis and Coenagrion are not rather distinct. Although the quadrangle alone does not suffice in
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all cases to separate the two, the general wing proportion and arrangement of the veins do. In Platycnemis (fig. 74) and its allies the wing is long and with the longitudinal veins arranged in a very regular and parallel fashion. The cells are largely square or rectangular, while in the group containing Cocnagrion (fig. 94) there is a tendency for $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ to bend posteriorly at the subnodal region and for $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ to be zigzag so that many cells are pentagonal. The methods of specialization in the two groups are also different, in the Platycnemis series are retained some of the features to be found in the Megapodagrioninae; for example, (I) great petiolation which may extend beyond the level of the arculus, and (2) an outward migration of the base of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ to a considerable distance beyond the subnodus. In the second group, on the other hand, petiolation is delayed for a long time, so that it never reaches the level of the arculus, and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ arises beyond the subnodus in Amphicnomis (fig. 129) only. Nany of the Coenagrion group are further specialized by a great reduction in the number of cross veins in the wing.

To come now to the discussion of the legion Platycnemis in detail, it is perhaps to be noted first of all that this may not be a natural group, it may represent a collection of forms each of which is the result of the dropping out of sectors from some corresponding Megapodagrionine. If Megapodagrion (fig. 57) itself, by way of example, were to lose its supplementary sectors, the resulting vena-tion-would be much like that of Platycnemis (fig. 74) or Calicnemis (fig. 72). The same might be true of Walnesia (fig. 65) and Tatocnemis (fig. 78), of Heteragrion perhaps, (fig. 68) and of Prionocnemis (fig. 79). However this may be, and although these genera resembling Platycnemis may not have come from a common ancestor, they do form a remarkably close and well connected series beginning with Metacnemis (fig. 71), Calicnemis (fig. 72), and Platycnemis (fig. 74), in which the wing is not petioled to the level of Ac, and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ begins at the sulmodus. Proceeding through Idiocnemis (fig. 75), Coeliccia (fig. 76), and Allocnemis (fig. 77), petiolation and the outward movement of the base of $R_{s}$ advance until the two tendencies reach their culmination in Tatocnemis (fig. 78) and Prionocnemis (fig. 79) respectively.

Leptocnemis (fig. 79) and Paracnemis which are rather typical forms of the Platycnemis group, except in the proportions of the quadrangle, and Antiagrion (fig. 81) a segregate of the old genus Coenagrion itself, offer themselves as transitional genera to the remaining Coenagrioninae.

These other genera in turn, can be divided into two groups: the Argia group and that of Coenagrion proper. In the former the inner end of the quadrangle is generally longer than the upper part of the arculus and as long as the upper side of the quadrangle, which is widened distally. Another character, not venational, is the presence of long tibial spines. This group has its own evolutionary line which begins with Myponeura (fig. 82) and Palaiargia (fig. 83) and proceeds by greater petiolation, shortening of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$, and reduction of the number of postnodals through Argia (fig. 84) to Argiallagma (fig. 86) and Diargia (fig. 85).

Of the Coenagrion group proper, it may be said that venation offers fewer marks for identification than in any other group of the Zygoptera, and it is here that keys for determination must be artificial. The genera are so numerous and so much alike that othẹr characters are necessary for their differentiation. Venation does, however, indicate the general tendencies of phylogeny: Mr. Tillyard (1912) may be quoted as follows, "Those forms are the least asthenogenetic in which the basal postcostal nervule ${ }^{4}$ is closest to the base of the wing, the petiolation consequently least marked, and the inferior sector of the triangle longest and best developed. Such forms, while marking the 'high-water mark' of successful coenogenetic specialisation in the legion, must nevertheless be considered to be more in line with the ancestral stem of the group than are their asthenogenetic off-shoots." Following this theory, one can readily arrange a series which begins with Oreagrion (fig. 87) and Pyrrhosoma (fig. 89) and, by a shortening of Cu2 and a reduction in the number of postnodals, continues through Enallagma (fig. 98), Ischnura (fig. IOO) and Coenagrion (fig. 94) to another dividing point, one branch leading off in the direction of reduction in size and further decrease in the momber of postnodals until Ceratura (fig. 102), Anomalagrion (fig. 97), Agriocnemis (fig. 104)

[^2]and IIemiphlcbia (fig. 106) are arrived at; the other branch develops by a greater petiolation, which extends to or beyond Ac in those genera above Pseudagrion (fig. II 4). This line continues through Oxyagrion (fig. IO9), A canthagrion (fig. IIO) and others until a new tendency is observable, namely the movement outward of the base of $\lambda_{3}$, until the origin of this vein very nearly coincides with that of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$. This is true of such genera as Nesobasis, Aciagrion (fig. 123), Leptobasis (fig. 127) and Tcinobasis (fig. 128). In Amphicnemis (fig. 129) the base of $M_{3}$ reaches the subnodus and $R_{5}$ arises a cell beyond, while petiolation extends at least to the level of the arculus.

To return for a moment to the discussion of Ceratura (fig. IO2), Anomalagrion (fig. 97) and their allies; there are to be found here several very interesting and peculiar conditions. The male of Anomalagrion hastatum in the fore-wing has the stigma small and three-sided, with the apex of this triangle not reaching the margin of the wing, and with the base on $\mathrm{R}_{1}$. Ceratura (fig. IO2), Austrocnemis (fig. IO4) and Hemiphlebia (fig. 106) have the second antenorlals placed before the arculus, and have only from five to seven postnodals, while $C u_{2}$ extends but a few cells beyond the level of the subnodus. In Austrocnemis and Hemiphlebia petiolation extends almost to Ac , since Ab and Ac are in line with each each other, A' does not begin at the wing margin and the other characters above mentioned place these two genera in this line rather than in the Leptobasis one. The absence of the inner end of the quadrangle in the fore-wing of the male of Hemiphlebia (fig. IO6) is an unusual state of affairs, and an interesting parallelism to the Megapodagrionine Chorismagrion (fig. 69) recently described by Morton (19I4).

## The Protoneurinae

This subfamily, the most specialized one among all the \%ygoptera, includes the legion Protoneura of de Selys. It is distinguished primarily by the reduction in length of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ which is generally represented ley a cross-vein only. In fact, this character was the one first used by de Selys to determine whether a genus belonged in the legionor not. On the discovery of Proneura prolongata (fig. 131), in 1889 , with the vein C $u_{2}$ one cell long, he modified the definition
of the legion to include this form and the genus Chlorocnemis (fig. I30) which is likewise characterized by having Cuz one cell in length. It is of course evident that these two genera are intermediate between the old legions Agrion and Platyenemis proper. Vet they are closer to the latter and may easily be considered as coming in the same subfamily with it.

Chlorocnemis (fig. 130) with its general wing proportions and arrangement of veins is a genus connecting Platycnemis (fig. 7t) with such genera as Palaemnema (fig. 136) and Platysticta, which also have a long postnodal area with the longitudinal veins very regularly and evenly placed. Proneura (fig. I3I), on the other hand, shows its affinities with the Coenagrion group on the one side and Veoneura (fig. 133) and related genera on the other, in it are found the pentagonal cells near $\Lambda_{4}$, the bend of $\Lambda_{1+2+3}$ before the subnodus, and the small number of the cells of the wing and a short vein $\lambda_{1 a}$.

After C $u_{2}$ has become reduced, the next step in the evolution of the Protoneurinae seems to have been the atrophy of that part of the anal vein beyond Ac. Thus in Disparoneura (fig. 132), Peristicta (fig. 134), Neoneura (fig. I33), and Idioneura (fig. 135) it extends to the medio-anal link; in Palaemnema (fig. 136) and the old genus Platysticta (fig. 137) it ends before MA on the posterior edge of the quadrangle, and in IIypostrophoneura on the hind margin of the wing. In the higher forms it does not extend beyond Ac, which then is generally mosed nearer the base of the wing until in Nososticta (fig. 151), I sosticta (fig. 150), and Selysioneura (fig. 153) it lies before the level of the first antenotals. At the same time Cu becomes shorter and the whole line culminates in Selysioncura (fig. 153), which has only a cross-vein for both ( ' $u_{1}$ and C ( $u_{2}$. In its absence of sectors other than $\prod_{1_{a}}$, in the reduction of $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$, in the origin of both $R_{s}$ and $\backslash_{3}$ beyond the subnodus, in the absence of that portion of $A$ beyond $A c$, in the retracted position of Ac, and in the fact that the second antenodals lie before the arculus, this genus presents a combination of characters of so high a degree of specialization that it can hardly be equalled in its reduction by any other member of the Zygoptera. It is therefore fitting that it should be placed at the very apex of the genealogic

[^3]tree of the suborder, and should bear the name of the man who has done more than any other worker to further our knowledge of the Odonata, namely Baron de Selys-Longchamps.

In contrast to the Coenagrioninae the Protoneurinae do not have the rectuction in the number of postnodals, they are as numerous in Selysioneura (fig. I53) as in Disparoneura (fig. 132).

## III-TENDENCIES IN SPECIALIZATION

These have been rather fully discussed in previous papers, particularly by Dr. Needham (1903a) for the order Odonata as a whole, and by Dr. Calvert (1913) for the Zygoptera with special reference to the Megapodagrioninae. It is my purpose here to review their opinions and to add a few observations of my own.

I think it must be apparent from the preceding discussion on the phylogeny that the outstanding features in the development of the Zygoptera are a constant refluction and simplification of venation. At any rate this is true from the standpoint of comparative morphology and to a certain degree it scems to be so paleontologically. As might be expected this reduction is to some extent accompanied by a decrease in the power of flight. Dr. Calvert says, " Many of the ideas which have been expressed on the changes which have taken place in the renation of the Odonate wings have been based on the comparative morphology of living representatives of the order or on mechanical advantage. It does not follow that these foundations are trustworthy or that there has always been mechanical improvement in the wings. Degeneration is just as probable as progressive development. The actual course of phylogeny cannot be deduced from these considerations or from the data of morphology. It seems therefore desirable to attempt to ascertain what palcontological evidence exists affording clues to the descent of these insects, by tracing the modifications which the wings exhibit from the Carboniferous period down to the present time." This is what he has done and free use is here made of his conclusions.

It should be noted that the tendencies here discussed are not all present in the group, nor all to be found even in the highest groups, but they are those which impress one. when studying over all the groups, as being indicative of adrancement and departure from the
generalized condition. They fall under two or three general heads and may be so treated in their discussion. First come those which may be classified under "Vein Reduction," secondly, those having to do with "Yein Shifting," and as a last group " Tiscellaneous Features.'

## - Vein Redection

1. Reduction in the number of supplementary sectors.-This is one of the most evident means of specialization within any group which possesses such sectors, as well as in the whole suborder, of which the two highest subfamilies have none. A comparison of the different subfamilies in this regard may be made in a general way by choosing for each one as its most typical genus the one after which it is named. In Table I this has been done, the figures indicating the number of sectors between the veins listed at the top of the columms. The figures are for the front wings.

## Table I

The Number of Supplementary Sectors present in the Subfamilies of Zygoptera

| Subfamily | Genus and Species | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}_{1}- \\ & \mathrm{M}_{1 a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M}_{12} \\ \mathrm{M}_{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}_{2}- \\ \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}- \\ \mathrm{I}_{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M1}_{3}- \\ \mathrm{M1}_{4} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MI}_{4}- \\ & \mathrm{Cu} \mathbf{u}_{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | Cus <br> Iargin | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Polythorinae | Polythore gigantea | O | $t$ | 0 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 23 |
| Agrioninae | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agrion } \\ & \quad \text { virgo } \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 45 |
| Epallaginae | Epallage fatima | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | $t$ | $t$ | 4 | + | 28 |
| Disparocyphinae | Disparocypha biedermanni | 2 | 2 | 2 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 8 |
| Megapodagrioninae | Megapodagrion erinys | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Lestinae | Lestes rectangularis | o | 2 | 2 | 2 | i | 0 | O | 0 | 9 |
| Lestoidinae | Lestoideu conjuncta | 2 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 2 |
| Pseudustigmatinae | Pseudostigma aberrans | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | 8 |
| Connagrioninae | Coenagrion puella | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | o | O | o | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Protoneurinae | Protoneura cafilleris | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | O | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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It should be remembered of course that such a table is of value in a general way only, since there is great variation in some subfamilies. Epiophlebia is a member of a lower suborder, yet its supplementary sectors are not so numerous as those of many Zygoptera. Thanmatoneura (fig. 5I) is placed in the Megapodagrioninae, but its many sectors indicate a primitive condition, or possibly they may represent a secondary acquirement. Nevertheless, this table shows that the highest subfamilies have the fewest sectors.

Dr. Calvert has shown that in a general way the reticulation of the oldest fossil order related to Odonata, the Protodonata, was rich in supplementary sectors. In Anisozygoptera some wings were richly veined, others less so. He concludes, that we have, therefore, "only the general tendency to guide us when we assume that reduction in density of venation means specialization."
2. Reduction of the veins $C u_{2}$ and $C u_{1}$.-This tendency appears in three widely removed groups; by it are derived Disparocypha (fig. 37) from the Epallaginae, Lestoidea (fig. 45) from the Lestinae, and the Protoneurinae from the Coenagrioninae. As was shown in the discussion of the Protoneurinae, $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ follows $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ in its reduction until nothing remains for both except the cross vein forming the lower part of the medio-anal link. This condition is the one to be found in Selysioneura (fig. I53) and is approached by a number of forms, such as Nososticta (fig. 151) and Isosticta (fig. 150).
3. Decrease in the number of antenodal cross-veins.-This very apparent tendency needs but little discussion, particularly after the thorough treatment given it by Campion (1913). The number of cross-veins in the lower Agrionidae is very high; in the higher Epallaginae it is rectuced to seven, eight, and nine ; in the Coenagrionidae, only Thaumatoneura (fig. 5I) has over three. Neurolestes (fig. 62), Trineuragrion (fig. 54), and Neuragrion have three generally, in one wing at least; and Chlorolestes (fig. 66), Podoptery (fig. 53), Paraphlebia (fig. 64), ITeteragrion (fig. 68), and Pyrrhosoma (fig. 89) have occasionally been observed to have three; all others commonly two. Dr. Calvert cites evidence to show that this reduction is not in proportion with the retraction of the nodus, but is apparently an independent and recent acquisition.
+. Decrease in the number of postnodals.- In the Zygoptera this tendency comes in much later than does the reduction in the antenotal series, nor does it ever reach so adranced a state. It appears very marked in the Coenagrioninae only, and there in the Argia and Coenagrion series some of the highest genera such as Diargia (fig. 85), Agriocnemis (fig. Ioł), Hemiphlebia (fig. IO6), and others have very few postnodals.
5. Loss of the cross-veins in the quadrangle and subquadrangle. The number of cross-veins in and before the subquadrangle seems generally to correspond roughly to that of the antenodals. In those Agrionidae with numerous antenodals the subquadrangle is generally crossed and the number of "cubito-anal" cross-veins is so great that Ac itself is distinguished only with difficulty. Neocharis (fig. 31) offers an interesting exception; in it the antenodals of both series are numerous, but there are no true cross-reins back of Cu. Diphlebia (fig. 34) and Amphiptery.x (fig. 35) may also have none. In the Coenagrionidae the lack of cross-veins in the subquadrangle has become the normal state and it is only in such forms as Paraphlebia (fig. 64 ) and Dimeragrion (fig. 67 ) that any are found. The same tendency is true of the cross-veins of the quadrangle; they are first lost in the Epallaginae and in the higher forms are normally retained by one genus only, A nomisma (fig. 48).
6. Reduction in mumber of those antenodal cells situated betzeen $M_{4}$ and Cu $u_{1}$.-As shown by Dr. Calvert "this character is in general dependent on the degree of retraction of the nodus," and, "a reduction in the number of antenodal cells may occur independently of retraction of the nodus, however, by the greater development of the quadrilateral distad." It is evident also that this reduction is a part of the general tendency toward a smaller number of crosisveins in the wing as a whole; almost any part of which when observed through a long ascending series, will show the cross-veins becoming placed further apart. Other examples of the tendeney might be noted, for instance, the frecing of the basal radial space where the latter exists.
7. Reduction in the number of cross-reins behind the anal vein.In part this is in line with the general tendency to drop out crossveins; in part due to progressive petiolation which reduces the

[^4]amount of space back of the anal bridge and therefore the possibility of cross-veins occurring there.

## Vein Shifting

I. Narrowing of the wing.-This tendency is coupled with the first one mentioned in the previous group, namely the reduction in the number of sectors. When the sectors drop out, the principal veins come to lie closer together. The wing may become narrower also by the veins $C u_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu} u_{2}$ becoming reduced. A comparison of the typical genera of the subfamilies șhows at a glance how prevalent this tendency is (see Table II).

Table II
The Ratio of the Width of the Wing to the Length in the Different Subfamilies.

Subfamily
Polythorinae
Agrioninae
Epallaginae
Disparocyphinae
Megapodagrioninae
Lestinae
Lestoidinae
Pseudostigmatinae
Coenagrioninae
Protoneurinae

Typical genus and species
Polythore gigantea 380
Agrion maculatum . 345
Epallage fatima . 228
Diparocypha biedermanni . 167
Megapodagrion erinys . 201
Lestes rectangularis . 203
Lestoidea conjuncta .252
Psendostigma, sp ? I95
Coenagrion resolutum . 2 IO
Protoneura capillaris . 152

It is evident in this table, what effect the dropping out of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ in Disparocypha and Protoneura has on the width of the wing. In Lestoidea, however, the presence of two sectors between $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$, has offset this tendency (see fig. 45).

The Pseudostigmatinae is a good example of the same tendency (see Table III). The subfamilies Megapodagrioninae, Coenagrioninae, and Epallaginae might be used for similar tables.

An examination of Handlirsch's figures in "Die Fossilen Insecten" show some rather narrow wings in periods as remote as the Mesozoic; evidently narrowing of the wing and loss of the sectors are ancient tendencies.

## Table III

The Ratio of the Width of the Wing to the Length, in the Pseudostigmatinae.

| Genus and Typical Species | Ratio, as Decimal |
| :--- | :---: |
| Megaloprepus coeruleatus | .267 |
| Microstigma exustum | .237 |
| Anomisma abnorme | .204 |
| Pseudostigma aberrans | .177 |
| Mecistogaster marchali | .148 |

2. Progressive petiolation.-In each subfamily except the Agrioninae, where specialization is along a different direction, there is a very moticeable tendency toward greater petiolation; it has been referred to constantly in the discussion on phylogeny and is used often in the keys, offering as it does an easy index to the amount of departure from the primitive. The Protoneurinae might be considered an exception, since in them, A becomes shorter and the reduction process is a matter of retraction. But in both cases the result is the narrowing of the wing near the base. Dr. Calvert discusses petiolation from the paleontological standpoint and says, "It seems justifiable to assume that the farther distad petiolation has progressed, the more specialized the insect."

Petiolation reaches its highest development in the Megapodagrioninae where in Chorismagrion (fig. 69) and Perilestes (fig. 70) it extends to beyond the level of MA.
3. Retraction of the nodus toward the wing base.-This is one of the most common and evident occurrences in specialization and is discussed in detail by both Professors Needham and Calvert. In the Agrionidae the nodus is almost universally at more than onethird the distance from the base of the wing toward the tip, in the Coenagrionidae at generally less.
4. Tendency for $M_{2}, R_{s}$, and $M_{3}$ to arise nearer the tip of the wing and further from the subnodus.-As Dr. Calvert remarks this outward "migration may be real or apparent. Retraction of the nodus with no change in position of the separation point of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ would result in a greater interval between nodus and $\mathrm{MI}_{2}$ and hence an apparent movement of the latter toward the stigma, but no real movement in respect to the wing as a whole." His table for
various members of the Megapodagrioninae shows it is often apparent only; but even if so a combination of the preceding tendency and of this one indicates specialization.

A study of almost any group will show the same thing; one that is a good example is the Platycnemis group of the Coenagrioninae (see Table IV).

Table IV

The Ratio to the Length of the Wing of the Distance from the Base of the IVing to the Subnodus and Points of Origin of $M_{2}, R_{s}$, and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$.

| Genus and Species | Subnodus | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metacnemis valida | .38 I | $.6 \mathrm{I}_{9}$ | .38 I | .362 |
| Calicnemis eximia | .309 | .576 | .309 | .289 |
| Psilocnemis marginipes | .354 | .63 I | .354 | .339 |
| Platycnemis pennipes | .354 | .562 | .354 | .323 |
| Allocnemis lencosticta | .345 | .582 | .345 | .322 |
| Coeliccia membranipes | .3 II | .6 I 3 | .31 I | .30 I |
| Tatocnemis malgassica | .31 I | .56 I | .327 | .31 I |
| Prionocnemis haematopus | .19 I | .591 | .435 | .209 |

A first glance at the wings themselves might suggest to one that $M_{2}, R_{s}$, and $M_{3}$ arise further out in the wing in the last genera than in the first, but an inspection of the above table shows that in general this is not true. It is not even equally true of the different veins but may be more so of some than others; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ for example in Prionocnemis (fig. 79) does arise beyond what it does in the others, $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ really arise nearer the base in the more specialized than in the lower wings. The subnodus becomes more retracted, quite consistently so, and the space between it and the points of origin of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ enlarges, while the relative positions of the subnodus and the base of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ change.

A comparison of the Agrionidae and of the Coenagrionidae shows on the whole, that in the lower subfamilies of the latter famity the vein $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ forks at about one-half the wing-length, as it does in the former family, but in the higher subfamilies the movement outward is cevident. In the whole series $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ also migrate outward. Dr. Calvert says that "the palcontological evidence is not altogether satisfactory and at least admits of the possibility of the separation - points of these three veins having fluctuated proximad or distad from time to time."
5. Migration outward of the base of $M_{\text {1a }}$. This tendency is correlated somewhat with the preceding, but seems to be independent of it to a certain degree. Most genera among the Agrionidae, Pseudostigmatinae, Lestinae, and Megapodagrioninae have $\mathrm{I}_{1 a}$ arising about three or four cells beyond the fork of $\mathrm{II}_{1+2}$, or at least rather near it. Perilestes, (fig. 70) however, which has $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ forking about two-thirds of the way out has $\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{la}}$ arising below the outer end of the stigma, or about one-fourth of the wing-length beyond $\mathrm{M}_{2}$. This is true to a lesser degree in some of the Coenagrioninae such as Platycnemis (fig. 74), Antiagrion (fig. 8i), Hyponeura (fig. 82), Agriocnemis (fig. 104), Ceriagrion (fig. 117) and others. It is not on the whole an important character, but does occasionally seem worthy of notice.
6. The position of the second antenodals before the arculus. - In those Agrionidae with many antenodals, there is a tendency for two of the costal antenodals to become more strongly developed than the others, and to be in line with two corresponding ones of the sub)costal series, while at the same time the second of these pairs is directly above the arculus. In Epiophlebia (fig. i) this second pair lies considerably beyond the level of the arculus; in Polythore (fig. 2) and Euthore (fig. 3) it is at that level, in Cora (fig. 5) and Chalcopteryx (fig. +) before it. In the Agrioninae, i.e. the legion Calopteryx, there is no evident hypertrophy of antenodals, but in the Epallaginae the tendency is again evident and the number of antenodals is reduced, until the two pair typical of the Coenagrionidae remain. Here, as in the Polythorinae and some Epallaginae, in some of the most highly developed forms: Lestoidea (fig. 45), Perilestes (fig. 70), Chorismagrion (fig. 69), Ceratura (fig. 102), Agriocnemis (fig. Iot), Hemiphlebia (fig. Io6), Caconeura (fig. I +3), Protoneura (fig. 146), Selysioneura (fig. I53) and others, the second antenodals are distinctly before the level of the arculus. Since this tendency rarely is manifest except in the highest members of the respective groups, it seems indicative of specialization.
7. The tendency for the quadrangle to become acute distally.-1)r. Needham (1903a) has taken as the primitive type of quadrangle one square distally and Mr. Williamson (1913) agrees that "in the relations of MA," the Calopteryginae (Agrionidae of this paper)
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shows a generalized structure and adds that for the Agrioninae (Coenagrionidae here) he believes in two lines of evolutionary activity, one in which MA is the center of activity and the quadrangle becomes pointed; the other in which MA is not changed, but the posterior area of the wing is reduced; here he refers of course to the Protoneurinae. Dr. Calvert (19I3) disagrees with the views above expressed, partly because hẹ finds no paleontological evidence for their support. He argues that since "all the Jurassic fossils, which are not clearly Anisoptera, show an oblique quadrilateral with its posterior distal angle acute," it seems " necessary to regard the oblique quadrilateral as the more primitive and the rectangular as a later appearance." It is true that the Anisozygoptera figured by Handlirsch have a quadrangle which is somewhat acute distally, and that the only living representative of this suborder, Epiophlebia, (fig. io) has an acute quadrangle, so that this condition seems the more ancient. When the living Zygoptera are taken up, however, those that are in other respects the most primitive have the quadrangle regular; in the Agrionidae, it is only in the higher Epallaginae that the obliquity becomes noticeable; and in the higher Megapodagrioninae, Lestinae, Pseudostigmatinae, and those Coenagrioninae of the Argia and Coenagrion groups it is carried to its highest development. Comparative morphology, therefore, brings evidence to show that the obliquity of the distal end of the quadrangle is not a direct heritage from the Anisozygoptera, but rather by a parallelism or independent development, and in general is a sign of specialization.

I think that enough evidence has now accumulated to show that this obliquity may in turn, grow less and the quadrangle be restored to distal squareness. In other words, the quadrangle is not as fixed and unchangeable a part of the wing as might at first be supposed. How else can the same shape of the quadrangle be explained in three widely separate groups which agree only in the reduction of Cu2? I refer to Disparocypha (fig. 37), Lestoidea (fig. 45), and the Protoneurinae. Evidently when this reduction takes place new demands for bracing must be met and the quadrangle again becomes square. 'The squareness of the quadrangle, then, in the lower Agrionidac and in the Protoneurinae, is no proof of a
primitive or of a specialized state in both groups, but of one in the first, and of the other in the second.
8. Change in length of the quadrangle. - At first thought the elongation of the fuadrangle seems to be a tendency which may point to departure from the ancient condition and which occurs rather often. Further investigation, however, fails to permit of the selection of any very well marked series in which this can be traced. Apparent elongation may be due to narrowing of the quadrangle itself or retraction of the nodus so that the quadrangle almost reaches its level. Beginning with Climacobasis (fig. 6) and Echo (fig. 7) and proceeding to Psolodesmus (fig. I6), one can observe some elongation, and likewise with Pseudophaea (fig. 21), Dysphaea (fig. 22), Anisopleura (fig. 25) and Rhinocypha (fig. 26), and in some Megapodagrioninae the tendency is similar. But for the whole suborder it has perhaps been rather different; the highest Coenagrionidae have almost as short a quadrangle as Psendophaea (fig. 21), in fact often they may seem to have a smaller one, partly because of distal obliquity and the resulting shortness of the upper side. The length of the quadrangle, then, does not greatly change, except in short series.
9. Attachment of supplementary sectors.-Here again is a tendency not at all universal in its appearance, but occuring often enough to deserve mention. Among the Polythorinae it is evident in Chalcoptery (fig. 4); among the Agrioninae it begins in Neurobasis (fig. I4) and Phaon (fig. I7) and ends in Vestalis (fig I8): in the Coenagrionidae, Thaumatoneura (fig. 51) exhibits it to a certain degree, Megaloprepus (fig. 46); Microstigma (fig. +7) and Anomismut (fig. 48) to a higher one, but since in Pseudostigma (fig. 49) and Mecistogaster (fig. $5^{\circ}$ ) almost all secondary sectors (lrop out, the tendency cannot longer manifest itself; nor can it in the Coenagrioninae.
10. Straightening of the longitudinal ieins.- IVherever there are many supplementary sectors present, the principal weins tend to curve, especially near the margin of the wing. But when these sectors drop out the veins themselves become straight and sarcely curve. Among the Agrionidae, this is true of Micromerus (fig. 28) and Philoganga; among the Coenagrionidae of Lestes (fig. 4t),

[^5]Mecistogaster (fig. 50), the higher Megapodagrioninae, and the Coenagrioninae and Protoneurinae generally. I think fossil evidence bears out this tendency equally well; it is, as was said above, apparently one dependent on reduction in the number of sectors.
II. Matching up of the cross-veins in transverse series.-At the same time that the preceding tendency becomes evident and, largely in the same forms, it is very noticeable that the cross-veins become matched up and form regular transverse series across the wing. These are beautifully illustrated in the higher Pseudostigmatinae, the Coenagrioninae and the Protoneurinae above all others.

## Miscellaneous Features

1. Differentiation of fore and hind wings.-This tendency so important among the Anisoptera has practically no place among the Zygoptera. A marked difference in size and shape is noticeable in only very few cases: Chalcopteryx (fig. 4) among the Polythorinae, Pseudolestes (fig. 38) among the Lestinae, and sometimes Mecistogaster (fig. 50) among the Pseudostigmatinae. These cases are certainly evidence of a departure from the primitive condition.

Many Zygoptera have a fairly constant difference in the length of the quadrangles of the fore and hind wings, enough so that one can tell which is the front and which the hind wing. The Polythorinae have this difference well developed in that the quadrangle of the fore wing is much the shorter and with fewer cross-veins. Among the Coenagrionidae several subfamilies have the upper side of the quadrangle of the fore wing shorter than that of the hind wing. But, generally speaking, the differentiation of fore and hind wings among the Zygoptera is not of enough importance for it to be considered a sign of specialization.
2. The bracing of the stigma.-Dr. Needham has shown two ways by which this may proceed, one by the cross-vein between $R_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ below the inner end of the stigma becoming oblique and of ten hypertrophied. This sort of bracing is not met with in the Agrionidae, nor in the lower Lestinae nor Megapodagrioninae. In most higher Lestinae, Megapodagrioninac, Coenagrioninae, and Protoneurinae it is the usual thing. 'The plates in Handlirsch's "Dic fossilen Insecten" show bracing in Tarsophlebia, a genus
of the Anisozygoptera and among the possible ancestors of the Zygoptera.

The other method of bracing is for the inner end of the stigma to be pointed and form a Y, the base of which is made by that portion of $R_{1}$ situated just before it. In this way $R_{1}$ holds the stigma firmly. Both methods may occur in the same wing. The Polythorinae have this Y well developed.
3. Atrophy of the stigma.- The diffusion and even total disappearance of the stigma in genera of two subfamilies, the Agrioninae and Pseudostigmatinae, may not be considered by some as a sign of advancement, but since the Odonata as far back as the Mesozoic do have the stigma, and since the modern genera which stand at the base of the Agrionine and Pseudostigmatine lines have it, and its gradual disappearance is traceable in a series, its atrophy must be taken as a matter of specialization, if not necessarily one of advantage.
IV—SUMMARY

From the preceding discussion it is evident that renation gives in most cases an easy and fairly clear means of determining relationships, but in certain ones it may be capable of more than one method of interpretation, and must therefore be checked up by other characters if a final decision is to be reached. Sometimes this doubtful condition is due to parallelism, sometimes to contradictory evidence from several sources; one character in the wing may indicate one tendency, another quite a different one.

The keynote to the phylogeny of the Zygoptera as based on venation is reduction. With this in mind one can divide the suborder into two families, the lower of which, the Agrionidae, has as lateral offshoots: (I) the Polythorinae (figs. 2-5) in which $M_{4}$ dues not descend the arculus and the quadrangle is therefore broad at the base and concave above; (2) the Agrioninae (figs. 6-20), where the wing fails to become petiolated, but the stigma atrophies and the quadrangle becomes somewhat convex above and widened distally; and (3) at a much higher level, the Disparocyphinae (fig. 37) as a direct offshoot of the main line of descent, by a shortening of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$, until it is represented by a cross-vein only. This main line of clescent is to be found in the Epallaginae (figs. 21-36) which
advance by petiolation, by reduction of the number of cross-veins, especially of the antenodals, and by a retraction of the nodus toward the wing-base. The Epallaginae lead to the second family, the Coenagrionidae, with which they are connected by various intermediate forms: Diphlebia (fig. 34), Philoganga (fig. 36), Thaumatoneura (fig. 51), Ortholestes (fig. 39) and Pentaphlebia. Early in the Coenagrionidae the nodus becomes more retracted, the number of antenodals becomes established as two, and the number of supplementary sectors shows a decided tendency to be decreased. There are two general lines of descent as regards the points of origin of $R_{s}$ and $M_{3}$; in the first one these veins retain the condition of the Agrionidae and arise nearer the arculus than the subnodus; this is true of the Lestinae (fig. $38-44$ ) and Lestoidinae (fig. 45). In the Lestinae is developed a quadrangle which is acute distally, and in the other subfamily the vein $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ is again reduced so that it does not extend beyond MA. The other line in the Coenagrionidae has $R_{s}$ and $M_{3}$ migrate outward so that they arise nearer the subnodus than the arculus. The Pseudostigmatinae (figs. $4^{6-50}$ ) are an immediate offshoot, with greatly elongated wings in which the nodus is retracted and the stigma becomes diffuse. The Megapodagrioninae (figs. $5 \mathrm{I}-70$ ) form the central branch which leads to the Coenagrioninae (figs. $7 \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I} 29$ ) by the loss of all sectors except $\mathrm{M}_{1 \text { a }}$, and also continues in its own direction by greater petiolation and outward progression of the base of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$. The Coenagrioninae are also characterized by a slight movement outward of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and by a great reduction in the number of postnotals; petiolation on the whole does not proceed very far. This family in turn gives rise to the Protoneurinae (figs. $\mathrm{I}_{3} 3^{-1} 53$ ) by the reduction of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$, a change which has thus taken place in three groups of Zygoptera. In the Protoneurinae $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ also becomes short, and in the highest form, Selysioneura (fig. 153), Cu does not branch at all.

## V-KEYS FOR THE DETERMINATION OF GENERA

For the most part these keys indicate as nearly as they can be made to do so, the same views regarding the phylogeny of the Zygoptera as have been expressed in the preceding pages. The Coenagrioninae have been the stumbling block for such a system,
and although an arrangement can be made which seems to follow the general line of descent in this subfamily, and to indicate what tendencies have been followed out venationally, it has been so far impossible to base any key on venation, since the genera as now recognized have considerable variation within themselves. It has been necessary, therefore, to make use of structural characters for this subfamily, and to make a key for which no claims of natural relationship can be made, but which is merely an attempt to aid in identification. Before this difficult group can be finally worked out, however, much more material must be studied than it has been possible to study for this paper.

The nomenclature of veins used in the keys is the same as that shown in the wings of Agrion and Argiocnemis in the first part of the paper. The number of the figure appearing in connection with each genus refers to the plates at the end of the paper. For the following genera neither figures nor specimens have been available: Paraphaea, Caliphaea, and Pentaphlebia among the Agrionidae; and Orolestes, Platylestes, Protolestes, Mesopodagrion, Metagrion, Allolestes, Argiagrion, Meteropodagrion, Mesagrion, Mesocnemis, Mombagrion, Myagrion, Nesocnemis, Nesobasis, Veuragrion, Onychargia, Paracnemis, Pericnemis, Phasmoneura, Stenocnemis, and Thermagrion among the Coenagrionidae.

The material used for study of the other genera was that in the collections at Cornell University, at the Academy of Natural Sciences at Philadelphia, at the National Museum, in the private collection of Mr. C. H. Kennedy, and some borrowed from Mr. E. B. Williamson, the Carnegie Museum, and the Museum of Comparative Zoology. In addition to this free use was made of those figures and keys which appear in the literature, and of some photographs sent by Mr. H. Campion of the British Museum.

## Key to the Suborders of (ODoNat.

I (4). Fore and hind wings essentially similar: in repose, held vertically or halfopened; eyes far apart. A quadrangle present. Males with wo superior and two inferior abdominal appendages.
?
2 (3). Area between $M_{4}$ and $C u_{1}$ just beyond $\mathrm{Ma}_{\text {a }}$ as borad as that between $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{n}_{1}$ and posterior margin of the wing. Ouadrangle of hind wing and that of fore wing quite different in shape, the former twice as wide distally as prosimally. Sectors of the wing (except $\lambda_{1 a}$ ) zigzag.

ANISOZYGOPTERA (1). 40)
MEM. AM. ENT. SOC., 3.

3 (2). Area between $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ just beyond MA generally narrower than that between $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and the posterior margin of the wing. The quadrangle of the fore wing of the same general shape as that of the hind wing; one may be longer than the other, but if one is widened distally, both are. Sectors of the wing tend usually to be continuous veins, not broken nor zigzag.

ZYGOPTERA (p. 40)
4 (1). Fore and hind wings dissimilar, held horizontally in repose. Eyes close together. Supertriangle and triangle present. Males with two superior and one inferior abdominal appendage.

ANISOPTERA ${ }^{5}$

## Key to the Suborder Anisozygoptera

Family Epiophlebiidae. Subfamily Epiophlebiinae.
i. But one living genus. Characters those of the suborder. Japan and Eastern Asia. (Fig. I)

Epiophlebia Calvert, 1903
(Palaeophlebia Selys, I 899)

## Key to the Families and Subfamilies of the Suborder Zygoptera

I (8). Antenodal cross-veins 5 or more. Usually cross-veins present in the subquadrangle beyond the vein Ac . $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ separating from $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ nearer to the arculus than to the subnodus. Nodus usually more than one-third of the distance from the base of the wing to the tip. The quadrangle of ten crossed. (Family Agrionidae)
2 (3). M not descending the arculus; quadrangle therefore concave anteriorly and at least twice as wide proximally as distally. Basal space crossed. Wings petioled at least*one-half way to the arculus. $\mathrm{II}_{1 \text { a }}$ closely parallel to $\mathrm{M}_{1}$.

Polythorinae (p. 42)
3 (2). M descending the arculus for some distance; the quadrangle not markedly concave anteriorly, and not twice as wide proximally as distally, at least in the hind wing. Basal space crossed or not. Wings petioled or not. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4
4 (5). $\mathrm{M}_{1 a}$ parallel to $\mathrm{M}_{2}$. Stigma often atrophied. Quadrangle elongate, often as long as the basal space, and conrex anteriorly. $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ beyond the quadrangle, especially in the hind wing, sends off a branch $\left(\mathrm{Cu}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right)$ to support the area posterior to it, or $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ itself bends back to support this area........ Agrioninae (p. 42)
$5(4) . \mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$ not parallel to $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, but more or less intermediate between $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ (not in Micromerus) and with rather prominent sectors on either side. Stigma well developed, at least in the hind wing. Quadrangle not so long as the basal space, or if so, not generally consex anteriorly. Cu $_{1_{\mathrm{a}}}$ not present. . . . . . . . . . . . 6
$6(7) . \mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C} t_{2}$ well developed veins, A extending as far as the distal end of the quadrangle. $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ generally somewhat curved beyond MA. Stigma usually not much broader distally than proximally.

Epallaginae (p. 45)
$7(6)$. $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ short, not reaching the level of the subnodus. Cuz reduced to a crossrein. A following the hind margin of the wing beyond Ac for some distance, then bending forward and ending at the posterior side of the quadrangle. $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ not curved beyond MA. Stigma much broader distally than proximally.

Disparocyphinae (p.47)
${ }^{5}$ Not further discussed in this paper.

8 (I). Antenodals generally two, rarely three to five. Usually no croswereins in the subquadrangle beyond Ac. $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ separating from $\mathrm{M}_{1 \cdot 2}$ nearer the submodus than the arculus, except in the Lestinue, none of which have more than two antenodals. Nodus usually from one-fifth to one-third of the distance from the bare of the wing to the tip. The quadrangle crossed in Anomisma only.
(Family Coenagrionidae)
9 (12). $\prod_{3}$ arising nearer the arculus than the subnodus. The bate of $R$. (properly, the base of the bridge to $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) arising before the subnodus and generally as near the arculus as the subnodus . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Io
10 (II). Supplementary sectors present between $R_{s}$ and $X_{3}$, and between $X_{3}$ and $M_{4}$. $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ well developed, extending considerably beyond the subnodus.

Lestinae (p. +i)
II (IO). No supplementary sectors between $R_{s}$ and $M_{3}$, and between $X_{3}$ and $M_{4}$; two between $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$. $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ one cell long and not reaching the subnodus. $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ reduced to a cross-vein . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Lestoidinae (p. 49)
$12(9) . \mathrm{I}_{3}$ arising nearer the subnodus than the arculus (half-way in Rhipidolestes). The bridge to $\mathrm{R}_{s}$ short, arising at or beyond the sulmodus (slightly before in Rhipidolestes) . . . . . . . . . . . ......................................................... 1 .
13 (18). $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ not reduced to a vein one cell long nor lacking ...................... it
If ( $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ). Stigma diffused or lost, not made up of one cell, but if present, usually of several cells, and irregular in shape, not braced. The longest vein between $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ rather more parallel to $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ than to $\mathrm{M}_{1}$. Nodus at from one-fourth (1) one-seventh the wing length. Many postnodal cross-xeins.

Pseudostigmatinae (p. fo)
15 (I4). A true stigma present, usually braced at the inner end. In not usually. parallel to $\lambda_{2}$, when any other sectors are present. Nodus generally at more than one-fourth of the wing length. The number of postnodal cross-veins often reduted. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . it
I6) ( 17 ). Definite supplementary sectors present other than $\mathrm{M}_{13}$ and arising at least as far in the wing as under the stigma. (No such seetors in Chorismagrion or Perilestes, but these genera can be recognized by the petiolation of the wing which extends almost to the level of $M A$, by the quadrangle coming near to the posterior wing-margin, by the arching of $\mathrm{Cu}_{4}$ beyond $\mathrm{M} A$, and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{R}_{s}$ arising several cells bevond the subnodus.) The stigma generally rather heaty.

Megapodagrioninae (1.50)
17 (16). No definite supplementary sectors other than $\mathrm{M}_{1 \text { a }}$ are present, except occasionat very irregular ones which are only a few cells long and do not arise more than a few cells from the wing-margin. Stigma generally rather small.

Coenagrioninae (p. 5.3)
is (1,3). Cu reduced to a cross-vein, ending in the margin of the wing, or in two genera to a vein one cell long. . . . . . .

Protoneurinae (p), 0,3)
MEM. AM. ENT. SOC., i.

## Key to the Genera of the Subfamily Polythorinae

I (8). $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ branched, more than one row of cells between $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ and between $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ and the wing-margin2
$2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Quadrangle of hind-wing one and one-half to two times as long as that of the fore-wing. Wings petioled about one-half of the way to the arculus. Fore-wing about three and one-half times as long as broad. $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ forking, with two rows of cells between the branches. Arculus at slightly more than one-third of the distance from the base of the wing to the subnodus. 3

3 (6). Fore and hind wings of same general shape and size. Sectors arising between the main reins, which do not appear forked. $\mathrm{MI}_{2}$ arising within a cell or two of the subnodus.$+$

4 (5). Venation very complex, many sectors and cross-veins; for example, yo-50 antenodals; often 12 or more cross-veins under the stigma; rather prominent sectors between $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$, at least in the hind wing. In the hind wing, several sectors between $\lambda_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ arise as far proximad as the inner end of the stigma. Northern South America from Colombia to Peru and Brazil. (Fig. 2.)

Polythore ${ }^{6}$ Calvert, 1917
(Thore Selys, 1853)
5 (4). Venation not so complex, antenodals 20 to 35: cross-veins under the stigma 6 to io; usually no sectors between $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$, more than three or four cells long. Between $\lambda_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ no sectors arise proximad of stigma. South America, Venezuela to Peru and Brazil. (Fig. 3.).................Euthore Selys, 1869
6 (3). Fore-wing evidently longer and narrower than the hind wing. Main veins appear forked because of the attached sectors. $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ arising two to four cells heyond the subnodus, especially in the fore-wing. Brazil. (Fig. 4.)

Chalcopteryx Selys, 1853
7 (2). Quadrangle of hind wing scarcely longer than that of the fore wing. Wings petioled about two-thirds of the distance from the base of the wing to the arculus. Fore wing about four and one-half times as long as broad. Arculus of ten at almost one-half the distance from the wing-base to the subnodus. Mexico to Bolivia. (Fig. 5.) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Cora Selys, 1853
8 (1). $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ unbranched, one row of cells between $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$, one row of cells back of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} . .$. .................................................. Miocora Calvert, 1917

Key to the Genera of the Subfamily Agrioninae
I (24). $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{u}_{22}}$ present. $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ in hind wing usually strongly waved. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2
2 (19). $\mathrm{Cu}_{24}$ running perpendicular to the posterior margin of the wing or toward the base of the wing, and usually with one or more sectors toward the anal angle which are longer than the quadrangle . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3
3 (12). In the hind wing the sectors of that portion of the wing posterior to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ and distad to $\mathrm{Cu}_{12 \mathrm{n}}$ are generally arched from near the wing margin and not directly attached w $\mathrm{Cu}_{2 \text { 2. }}$. True stigma present . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4
${ }^{6}$ Calvert, 1917, proposes the name Polythore for this genus, since the name Thore is preorcupied.
f(9). Basal space crossed. Arculus usually distinctly angled and not markedly oblique
.5
5 ( 6 ). Eight or more cells across the anal area along Cu $\mathrm{u}_{2 \mathrm{a}}$. Nore than two sectors from $\mathrm{Cu}_{2 a}$ run toward the anal angle, but they are irregular. Anal margin of hind wing convex. Space between $C u_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ in hind wing reticulate just beyond MA. China. (Fig. 8.). $\qquad$ Archineura Kirloy, $1 \times 9+$
6 (5). Not more than four to five cells along $\mathrm{Cu}_{23}$ between $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{u}_{2}$ and the wing-margin. In the fore wing there is one sector from $\mathrm{Cu}_{2_{2}}$ toward the anal angle: anal margin not convex. One row of cells between $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{u}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ at their origin
7 ( 8 ). Nodus less than one-half of the distance from the base of the wing to the stigma. Southern and south-eastern Asia. (Fig. 7.) .......... Echo Selys, Is 5.3
$s(\bar{\prime})$. Nodus more than one-hali the distance from the base of the wing to the stigma. Southeastern Asia. (Fig. 6.) .................... Climacobasis ${ }^{\top}$ Laidlaw, Igの2
$9(t)$. Basal space free. Tendency for arculus to be less angled and more oblique. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 10
10 (II). Cun in the hind wing running almost toward the margin, so that it does not reach the length of the quadrangle before sending off a branch soward the

(Cleis Selys, I 553 )
II (10). $C_{u_{2 a}}$ in the hind wing running toward the base of the wing, almost the length of the quadrangle before sending a branch toward the anal angle. West Africa. (Fig. io) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Sapho Sclys, 1853
12 (3). Sectors in that area of the hind wing posterior to $\mathrm{C} u_{2}$ and distad to $\mathrm{Cu}_{23}$, usually (sometimes not in Agrion) attached directly to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2 a}$. Stigma lalie or lacking. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . I,
13 (I.4). Basal space free. Amost cosmopolitan, except for most of Xfrica and Australia. (Fig. II.) ................................... Agrion Fabricius, 1775 (Culoptervx leach, 1si5)
If (1,3). Basal space crossed 15
$I_{5}(16)$. Broad area in hind wing posterior to $C u_{2}$, with five rows of cells between end of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2_{2}}$ and the wing margin which is very convex; in the hind wing the length is less than three and one-half times the breadth. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ very strongly waved in the hind wing, cutting off a sector anterior to it. All four wings of the male opaque; only one or two cells of the basal space divided. Borneo. (Fig. 12.)

Matronoides Foerster, Ing)
i 1 am not sure that Climacobasis is generically distinct from Echo. Laidlaw (rgo3) says of Climacobasis lugens and Echo modesta, "There can be no doubt that the two species which 1 founded on a single specimen in each case, are merely mate and femate of the same species. The generic name may be retamed as that of a section of the genus Echo, distinguished by the great relative length of the pterostigma in the mate, in which it is about three times as long as in the female." Williamson (1904 sdys, "Echo is known to me only from descriptions and figures, but such differences between it and Climacobasis appear to exist in the anal region and in the stigmats of the males that, in so far as the two names have been published, their separation seems to me desirable, at least till more critical study can be made."
since I have had no access to specimens of Echo, I shall here follow the precedent made by Williamson. The stigmatic differences in figures six and seven are due to sex rather than gemus.

```
MEM. AM. ENT. SOC., 3.
```

I6 ( 1,5 ). Area of hind wing posterior to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ narrower, with two to four rows of cells between end of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2 a}$ and wing margin which is not very convex; hind wing about three and one-half times as long as broad. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ not usually so strongly waved as to cut off an anterior sector........................................................ 17
${ }_{17}$ ( 18 ). $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ not appearing forked. Most cells of basal space divided into two by median nervules. All four wings of male opaque. $\mathrm{Cu}_{2 \mathrm{a}}$ alike in fore and hind wings. Japan and southeastern Asia to India. (Fig. 13.)

Matrona Selys, 1883
18 (17). $\lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$ appearing forked because of attached sectors. Only one or two cells of basal space divided. Front wings of male hyaline. $\mathrm{Cu}_{2_{a}}$ in fore wing tends to parallel A. Malaysia. (Fig. 14.) ............. Neurobasis Selys, 1863
19 (2). $\mathrm{Cu}_{2 \mathrm{a}}$ turning away from the wing-base; the sector from $\mathrm{Cu}_{2 \mathrm{a}}$ toward the anal angle shorter than the quadrangle.
. 20
$20(2,3)$. True stigma present. $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ fusing with R at least in the hind wing. $\mathrm{M}_{1-8}$ and $M_{4}$ arise separately from arculus. Asian genera....................... 21
2I (22). Quadrangle approximately as long as the basal space and with four to seven cross-veins; posterior wing-margin near the anal angle not concave. Stigma about three times as long as broad; the distance from the wing-base to the nodus more than two-fifths of the wing length. Posterior end of the medio-anal link more basal than the anterior end. China and Japan. (Fig. 15)

Mnais Selys, 1853
22 (21). Quadrangle one and one-half times as long as the basal space and with ten or more cross-veins; posterior wing-margin near the anal angle very concave. Stigma four times as long as broad; the distance from the wing-base to the nodus less than two-fifths of the wing length. Posterior end of MA not so basal as anterior end. China and Formosa. (Fig. 16.). . Psolodesmus McLachlan. 1870
23 (20). Stigma present or not. $M_{1+2}$ not fusing with R. $M_{1-3}$ and $M_{4}$ usually arise at a common point, especially in the hind-wing. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ in the hind wing waved so as to approach $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ very closely. Africa and Madagascar. (Fig. 17)

Phaon Selys, 1853
${ }_{24}(\mathrm{I}) . \mathrm{Cu}_{2_{a}}$ not present. Area posterior to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ supported by a wide bend of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ in the hind wing not strongly waved. Quadrangle often very consex anteriorly and widened distally. Arculus not angled, but oblique................ 25
25 (26). Basal space free. $\mathrm{M}_{2}, \mathrm{M}_{3}, \mathrm{Cu}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ with attached sectors. Veins and sectors turn sharply toward wing margin. Quadrangle almost as long as the basal space. India to Malaysia. (Fig. I8.)...........Vestalis Selys, 1853
26 (25). Basal space crossed, distinctly longer than the quadrangle. Sectors intermediate, generally not attaching to the main veins. V'eins and sectors not bending sharply toward the wing-margin. $\mathrm{R}_{s}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ parallel at the end, with two intervening sectors. Cienera of the Western Hemisphere, ranging from Canada to South America. (Figs. 19 and 20.)

Heterina ${ }^{8}$ Selys, 1853 , and Lais Selys, 185.3

## Key to the Genera of the Subfamily Epallaginae

${ }^{1}$ ( I 0 ). Wings petioled not more than one-half way from the wing base to the arculus. Quadrangle not more than four times as long as broad: with not more than two or three cross-veins. Area posterior to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$, at its widest point, at least one-third the width of the wing at that point with several sectors beyond $11 \mathrm{~A} \ldots 2$
2 (5). Quadrangle with one or two cross-veins; subquadrangle usually with more than two. In the hind wing the basal space generally not more than twice as long as the quadrangle
3 (4). Area back of anal vein with only one row of cells to beyond MA. at least in the fore wing. Stigma with anterior proximal angle away from the wing-base. In the fore wing the antenodal cross-veins are less numerous than the postnodal. India to China and Malaysia. (Fig. 21.)......... Pseudophaea ${ }^{9}$ Kirby, 18yo
(Euphuea Selys, 1840)
4 (3). Area back of anal vein with two rows of cells to beyond M.A. Anterior proximal angle of stigma toward the wing-base. Antenodal cross-veins more numerous than the postnodal. Indo-China to Malaysia. (Fig. 22.)

Dysphaea Selys, 14.53
5 (2). Quadrangle without cross-veins: subquadrangle usually with two or fewer. Basal space generally more than twice as long as the quadrangle

6
6 ( $\overline{7}$. Basal radial space closed and free. India. (Fig. 2.3.)
Bayadera Selys, 185.3
7 (6). Basal radial space not closed in both wings
${ }^{8}$ The genera Heterina and Lais now contain so many species with so many intergracting characters, that it is impossible to fix upon any clearly defined characters for the separation of the two genera, without a more detailed study than I have been able to give them. Many species of Heterina have several rows of cells lack of the anal bridge in the forewings, while Lais is supposed to have but two such rows. However, in specimens of H. donna, vulnerata, cruentata, tricolor, titia, caja, mucropus, ete. some have only iwo. In many species of Hetaerina the males have the base of the wing red. Beyond these characters further ones are unknown to me.
${ }^{9}$ The genus Paraphaea, Martin, 1903, would seem, from the description, in the Bulletin of the Museum of Paris 1903, to come in this part of the key, but the description is too incomplete to use for the key. The transtation reads as follows: "This new genus is created from a single specimen from Manilla and belonging to the Museum of Paris. It is of the Legion Euphaea of M. de Selys. The basal space is free, the median sector $\left(\mathrm{MI}_{3}\right)$ branches from the principal $\left(\mathrm{II}_{1}\right)$ toward the end of the quadrangle; the feet are quite long, the body slender, the fundamental color of the body red, but the ventral surface of the thorax entirely black. The nodus is placed exactly at the middle of the wing. The superior appendages are slightly bent not dilated, the inferior small; the posterior margin of the tenth segment bears a papilla."

Under the specific description of P'. barbata: "Abdomen 55 mm .; lower wing +1 mm.; 24 antenorlals and 24 postnodals to the upper wings; 24 antenodals and $2+$ postnodals to the lower wings. Wings hyaline, slighty tinged with salfranin, the distal tip of the stigma bordered with brown: the stigma brown, covering seven cells, broat at the middle, narrowed at the two ends which are pointed, especially proximally. (Quadrangle small, short, crossed."

8 (9). Basal radial space crossed. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ usually arising not more than one cell from sub-nodus. The longest sector between $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ arising below a point several cells before the level of the subnodus. Greece to Persia. (Fig. 24.)

Epallage Charpentier, is $\ddagger 0$
9 (8). Basal radial space free; $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ tends to arise two cells beyond the subnodus. The longest sector between $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$, especially in the hind-wing, arises almost below the subnodus. In the hind-wing of the male, $C$ has a hump before the arculus. India to Burma. . (Fig. 25.)........... Anisopleura Selys, 1853 10 (I). Wing usually petioled two-thirds or more of the way to the arculus. Length of quadrangle and the number of cross-seins vary. The area posterior to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ narrow, at its widest point usually less than one-fourth the width of the wing at that point, and with $n$ t more than two distinct longitudinal sectors. . . . . . . . . . I I
II (16). Area posterior to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ a single row of cells (occasionally two cells wide for a short distance ; but if so, $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ is not arched). Quadrangle in the fore wing usually at least six times as long as wide. Beyond MA, M4 arches forward, $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ backward. $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ near the wing-margin generally are parallel and with two rather prominent parallel sectors between
12 (13.). Quadrangle generally with two or more cross-veins, at least in one wing. The sector anterior to $\mathrm{N}_{12}$ arising before the inner end of the stigma, at least in the hind wing. India to Malaysia. (Fig. 26.).... Rhinocypha Selys, 1853
13 (12). Quadrangle usually with less than two cross-veins. The sector anterior to $\mathrm{M}_{1 a}$, if present, not arising before the inner end of the stigma. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . if
14 (15). Short sectors between $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1 a}$. Third antenodal over arculus. Quadrangle uniform in width or widened distally. West Africa to Zanzibar and in Philippines. (Fig. 27.)....................................... . . Libellago Selys, I 853
15 (I4). No sectors between $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1 a}$. Second antenodal over arculus. Quadrangle wider proximally. India to Malaysia. (Fig. 28.)

Micromerus Rambur, $18 \not \& 2$
i6 (II). Area posterior to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ with more than one row of cells beyond MA, except in Dicterias and IMeliocharis. (Of these two, Meliocharis of ten has basal space crossed; Dicterias has $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ending very near to each other.) $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ generally not prominently arched beyond MA.17
${ }^{17}$ (34). Quadrangle at least four times as long as wide. Wings generally widest beyond the level of the subnodus 18

18 (27). Antenodal cross-veins usually more than twelve. Area posterior to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ of one row of cells only, sometimes two rows in Neocharis; or Cu2 forks and has two rows of cells between the forks. Quadrangle crossed
19 (20). $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ forking; two rows of cells between the forks. First costal antenodal almost over the arculus. Brazil. (Fig. 29.)... Cyanocharis Needham, 1903
20 (19). Cu not forking. First costal antenodal generally sone distance before the arculus
21 (22). Stigma covering only two to three cells. Supplementary sectors in apex of wing numerous and curved; some attached to the principal veins. Spines on the logs very long. India and China................. . . Caliphaea ${ }^{10}$ Selys, 1859
${ }^{10}$ Same as Nothotestes, Mlelachlan, 1887. See McLachlan, 1894, Annals and Magazine of Naturalllistory, (6) $13: 435$. I have seen neither figures nor specimens of this genus,
but descriptions seem to place it in the Epallaginae.

22 (21). Stigma covering them tweeven cells. Supplemen ars -(w ther- not at at hed. Spines on leg-medium in length. Cenera of suth Imerica . 23

 subnodu:. Quadrangle with one eros-sein. Brazil. Fis. 30.

Heliocharis Sely-. $1 \times 5.3$
24 (23). Basal space free. N1, ari-w within a cell of the -ubnodu- (puadrangle with one or two cross-veins.
25 (26). some sectors between M. and XI ari-c far lefore the level of the - tigma.

26 (25). No sector between Ma Mand Mire before the level of the- -tizma. Duadrangle with two cron--veins. Briti-h (iulidna. Fis. 31.)

Neocharis Foneteter. igenf
27 (In). Antenodal crose-veins usually lese than mine. Area posterior to Cus of more than one row of cell., but Cu* not -ymmetrically forkert. . . ........ . 28
25 (29). Two cross-veins in the quadrangle: two or three often in the -ubquadrangle. Stigma about three times a-knsis-wide. Fourth antenostal over the arculus. In ari-ine four th fire cell- berond the -ubmodu- Strait hette-


29 (2x). No croes-veins in the quadrangle: u-ually mone in the suldqualrangle. Stigma about five times as long as wide. fu-iform in outline. berond os third antenodal over the arculu=
30 (33). Genera of the Oid Wiofld. Mesot ari-ing more than one cell loesond the subnodus. Sectors present between MI and MI.. Second anturnofd wer the arculus
31 (32). Me arising at the subnodus, or within ome-half cell of it: II arivins, three to five cells bevond MI. Arculus lees than one-half way from the bote of the wing to the nodus. Antemodal= five te eeven. Iu-tralia and Malay-ia. Fis. 34.)

Diphlebia -ely-. I 6,5
32 (31). M2 arising one cell beyend the -ubnodu- N. five well- berond M. Arculus at one-half the di-tance from the ban of the wine to the nodur. Antenodals senerally five. IVici. Iirica..... Pentaphlebia ${ }^{11}$ Fiver-ter, I gor,
3.3 (30). A genus of the \ew Wiofld. Me arising two to four cells berond the -ub, nodus. Often no eectors between $\backslash I$ and $M$. The third anten dal ower the arculu. Colombia. Fis. 35. Amphipteryx ¢ely - 1n=3
it (17). Vuadrangle about twice d- lons a-wide. Wing-wide-t before the level of the nodus. Antenordalo about fitteen. India to China. Fif. ; th.

Philoganga -ds-, いい

## Key to the Disparocyphinue

 in each series. suth Celeber. Fis. i,

Disparocypha Ri-, I, 1 ,
${ }^{11}$ Only the description wa- wailable for thi- -enl -
MEM. AM. ENT, FOr.. =

## Key to the Genera of the Subfamily Lestinae

1 (2). The distal end of the quadrangle cut off almost squarely. The front wing over one-third longer than the hind wing. A short sector present in the fore wing between $M_{1}$ and $M_{1 a}$. In the hind wing a sector present between $M_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$. The distance between the points of origin of $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ and the bridge to $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ is almost as long as that from the origin of $\lambda_{3}$ to the arculus. Hainan. (Fig. 38.)

Pseudolestes Kirby, 1900
2 (i). The distal end of the quadrangle is not rut off squarely. The fore and hind wings of about the same length. No sector between $M_{1}$ and $M_{1 a}$ (except in Ortholestes). The distance between the origin of $\lambda_{3}$ and that of the bridge to $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ is less than the distance from the origin of $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ to the arculus. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3
3 (4). The quadrangle widened distally and with the superior side over one-half the length of the inferior. No sectors between $\Lambda_{3}$ and $M_{4}$. Two rows of cells between $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ at the end of $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$, at least in many specimens. West Indies. (Fig. 39.).

Ortholestes Calvert, 1891
4 (3). The quadrangle not witened distally and with the superior side scarcely one-half the length of the inferior. One or more sectors between $\lambda_{3}$ and $\mathrm{II}_{4}$, except in Orolestes. One row of cells between $\lambda_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$.
$5(6)$. The inner end of the quadrangle in the fore wing almost one-half the length of the lower side. $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ forking less than two cells beyond the subnodus. The third antenodal space almost twice the length of the first. California to Venezuela. (Fig. 40.).

Archilestes Selys, 1862
$6(5)$. The inner end of the quadrangle one-third or less of the length of the lower side except in Orolestes. $\lambda 1_{1+2}$ forking more than two cells beyond the subnodus, at least in one wing. The third antenodal space not more than one and onehalf times the length of the first
(8). Ning petioled to within Ac's length of itself. No sectors between $\lambda_{3}$ and $R_{s}$. The longest sector between $\lambda_{2}$ and $R_{s}$ arises about one-half of the way between the stigma and the origin of $X_{1 a}$. $X_{12}$ not zigzag. India. (Fig. +1.)

Megalestes Selys, 1862
$8(7)$. Wing petioled to Ac or nearly so. Sectors present between $\lambda_{3}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$. The longest sector between $\lambda_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ not arising more than three or four cells before the level of the stigma
$9(10)$. Wings, at least those of the mate, with large opaque areas. $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ is the only zigzag vein. Stigma very large, surmounting four to six cells. $\lambda_{1+2}$ forking five to seven cells beyond the subnodus. India. . . Orolestes ${ }^{12}$ Melachlan, 1895 10 (9). Wings hyaline. Many of the veins strongly zigzag; i. e. the cells are angled. Stigna shorter, surmomang two to three cells. $\mathrm{X}_{1+2}$ forks three to sevell cells beyond the subnodus . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11
11 (12). Stigma broad, scarcely two and one-half times as long as wide. Rs zigzag. The anal appendages of the female ovoid, very much depressed, as long as the tenth segment. A single species, from East Indies.. Platylestes ${ }^{12}$ Selys, 1862 ${ }^{12}$ 1) (scriptions only have been available for these genera.

12 （ 11 ）．Stigma narrower，usually three or more times as long as wide 1.3
13 （ t \＆）．Wings raised，when at rest．fnner end of quadrangle only one tifth as long as the inferior side．The prothoras with three scallops posteriorls，the mid－ dle one of which is the longest．Eurofe to Nadagascar，Now（iuncaband Vew Caledonia．（Fig．tz．）

Sympyena Charpentier，Info
If（1，3）．Wingsheld horizontalty，when at rest．The prothomanot as abowe I5
15 （ 16 ）．The sectors of the arculus arising towarel the middle，$\lambda_{1}$ about ome－half of the way down；hence the quadrangle is narrow with the inner end between one－ fourth and one－fifth as wide as the inferior side is long．The quadrangle in the hind wing has a distinctly longer upper side than does that of the fore wing． The upper and lower sides are almost parallel．I gemus from ．Tustralia and sur－ rounding islands．（Fig．ti．）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．Austrolestes Tillyard，10）13
16 （ 1.5 ）．The sectors of the arculus arising nearer its mper end，．Th a about one－third of the way down，hence the inner end of the quadrangle is from one－third to one－fourth of the length of the inferior side．The fuadrangle of the front and hind wings essentially alike and trianguker in shape because of the narrow－ ing distally．Cosmopolitan，except not in Australia．（Fig．H．）

Lestes Leach，1ヵIF

## Key to the Subfamily Lestoidinae

1．One qenus only；the characters those of the subfamily．Australia．（Fig．t5．） Lestoidea Tillyard．191，3

## Key to the Genera of the Subfamily Pseudostigmatinale

I（ 0 ）．Secondary sectors mumerous：two or more rows of cells between $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ for some distance．$\_{1+2}$ forking at more than one－half of the distance from the subnodus the tij）of the wing．Cam short．ending at the lowel of leso that one－ half the distance from the fork of $X_{12}$ to the tip of the wing．（ $H_{2}$ with many forks；several to many cells between $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ and the posterior wing margin．
2 （5）．Wuadrangle not crossed；about five times as long as wide．No cross－bein in the subquadrangle．N．I before the lewel of the subnedus．Xter forking be－ fond the half－way point between the submextusand the tip of the wing．． 3
3 （4）．The nodus at one－sinth of the wing length：Xe arched forward under the －tigma so as to make a sharg）bend．Il forks under the inner end of the stig－ matic area，at about fifteen cells from the margin of the wing；C Cu，fork many cells from the wing－margin．Wexico to（itatemala．（Fig．fo．）

Megaloprepus Rambur，Nゅさ2
4（3）．The moxlus at one－fifth of the wing length；M2 not so strongly arelsed． $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ forks about five cells from the wing margin or mot at all．Cum forks about five cells from the wing margin．Colombia to Brazil and Eecuador．（Fig．f．．）

Microstigma Rambur，intz
5 （2）．The quadrangle with two or thee cross－vetins，several in the subpuadrangle． some of which line up with those in the datatangle．WI in the hind－wing es－ pecially，is at the level of the subnorlus． $\mathrm{I}_{1+2}$ forking at aloout half way between the subnodus and the wing tip．P＇eru and Écuador．（Fig．fir．）

Anomisma Mclachlan，ハウファ

[^6]6 (1). Secondary sectors few; a tendency to only one row of cells between $\lambda_{12}$ and $\lambda_{2}$, if two rows, it is for a short distance only, $\lambda_{1+2}$ forking at less than one-half the distance from the subnodus to the tip of the wing. Cuz longer, ending at one-half or more than one-half of the distance from the fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1}+2$ to the tip of the wing, at least in the fore wing. Cuz unbranched. One or two rows of cells between $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ and the posterior wing margin. One row of cells between $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\lambda \mathrm{I}_{1+2}$ before the fork of the latter
7 (8). The nodus at one-fifth of the wing length. The hind wing, at least, tends to have two rows of cells between $\mathrm{M}_{13}$ and $\mathrm{NI}_{2}$ for a short distance. $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ has several short sectors at its end; there are two rows of cells posterior to. $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$. Mexico to Colombia. (Fig. 49.)

Pseudostigma Selys, 1860
$8(7)$. The nodus at one-fourth to one-fifth of the wing length. One row of cells between $\mathrm{N}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{MI}_{2}$. No sectors more than a few cells long, except between $\mathrm{M}_{1}$
 terior wing-margin. West Indies and Mexico to Ecuador. (Fig. 50).

Mecistogaster Rambur, is $4_{2}$

## Key to the Genera of the Subfamily Megapodagrioninae

I (2). Antenodals three to five, postnodals over fifty; venation very complex, many supplementary sectors from $\lambda I_{1}$ to the posterior margin of the wing. About ten cross-veins under the stigma. Quadrangle almost rectangular at both ends. Wings not petioled to the level of the arculus. Panama to Costa Rica. (Fig. 5 r.)

Thaumatoneura McLachlan, 1897
2 ( 1 ). Antenodals two to three, postnodals generally not over thirty: venation simpler; supplementary sectors not usually present between $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1 a}$, and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$. Cross-veins under stigma six or fewer. Quadrangle generally. acute dictally. Wings usually petioled beyond the level of the arculus.......... 3
3 (4). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ arising one cell before the subnodus, $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ about one-half the distance between the arculus and the subnodus. Supplementary sectors $\mathrm{N}_{1 a}$ to Cur. Wing petioled to the level of one-third to one-half of the distance between the arculus and MA. Formosa. (Fig. 52.).

Rhipidolestes Ris, 1912
4 (3). Rs, not arising before the subnotus. M ${ }_{3}$ arising nearer the subnodus than the arculus. 5
5 (30). Rs arising at or very near the subnodus, $\mathrm{NI}_{\mathrm{s}}$ at least one cell before the subnotus.6
$6(7)$. Area posterior to $\mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{t}_{2}$ with four or five rows of cells. Wing petioled to or beyond the level of the arculus. Supplementary sectors from $\mathrm{X}_{1_{3}}$ to $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{u}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{C} u_{3}$. Malaysia and Australia. (Fig. 53.) ....................Podopteryx Selys, 1871
7 (6). Area posterior to $\mathrm{C} 1_{2}$ with one or two, rarely three rows of cells. ............
8 (ig). Wing not petioled to the level of the arculus, it may be almost so in Trineuragrion, a genus with a third antenodal
9 (14). Short sectors present between $\mathrm{R}_{s}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ as well as some of the other longitudinal rein:
 and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$, Malaysia. (Fig. .5.)

Rhinagrion ("alvert, 1913
(. 1 mphilestes Solys 1n62)

II (10). Nosectors between $\backslash_{1}$ and $\backslash_{1 n}$
I2
I 2 ( 13 ). Threeantenodals, the third one being costal and beyond the aroulus. One row of cells back of Cu』. New Caledonia. (Fig. 54.). Trineuragrion Ris, 191.5
I.3 (12). Two antenodals. (ienerally at least two more or les complete rows of cells back of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$. Tustralian region. (Fig. 50.) ...... Irgiolestes Selys. f^62
If (g). Nosectors between $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and II
I. 5

15 (16). I genus of the Old World. Three cells below the stigma, postnodals about twenty: Thibet?

Mesopodagrion ${ }^{13} \mathrm{Mclachlans}, \mathrm{ing}^{\text {a }}$
16 (I, ). Cenera of the New World. One cell below the stigma: po-tnodals about nifteen.
IJ (IN). Three rows of cells in the area between $\prod_{1 a}$ and $\backslash I_{2}$, with two umbroken sectors between $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$. In the male the stigmat is two and one-half times as long as wide. Brazil. (Fig. 57.)..... Megapodagrion Selys, 1ヵin5, sems. strict. is $\left(I_{i}\right)$. Two rows of cells in the area between $M_{1 a}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ and one sector between $M_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$. Stigma about twice as long as wide. Northern South . Smerica. (Fig is.).

Allopodagrion Foerster, It)to
In (o). It least one wing petioled to beyond the level of the arculus. . . . . . . . . . . 20
 (ienerally two or more rows of cells back of Cuz, at least part way. Australian

2 I (20). No sectors between $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $C 1_{1}$. One row of cells back of $\mathrm{C} 11_{2} \ldots \ldots . .22$
22 (29). Supplementarysectors between $R$, and $M_{3}$ as well as $M_{1: 2}$ to $R_{*}$ and $h_{x-}$

2.3 (24). The anterior of the sectors between $R_{s}$ and $X_{3}$ shorter than the pheterior one. Five cross-veins under the stigma. The longest sector between $\mathrm{X}_{1,4}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ arising four to six cells before the inner end of the stigma. Between $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ only one sector is more than three or four cells long. Costa Rica to Brazil. (Fig. 5о.).

Philogenia Sdy : 1 inoz
2f (2.3). The anterior of the sectors between $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ is longer than the posterior one. Two or three cross-ieins under the stigna. The longest sector between $\backslash_{1 a}$ and $\backslash_{2}$ arises not more than one or two cells before the inner end of the stigma. Between $\mathrm{II}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ are often two sectors more than three cells long, especially in the hind wing. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 25
25 (26). Wing not petioled beyond the arculas. It least three cells between . 3.1 and the level of the subnodus in the area between $\mathrm{II}_{4}$ and ( $u_{1}$. Supplementary


Podolestes Selys. is62
26 (25). Wing is petioled beyond the arculus. Two cells between . 1.1 and the level of the subnodus in the area letween $\mathrm{II}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$. Supplementary secturs (w)

${ }^{13}$ Description onty was available for this gemus.
MEM. AY. ENT. SOC., 3.

27 (28). Wing petioled to the level of about one-third the length of the quadrangle. Three antenodals. Tendency to a cubito-anal cross-rein before the subquadrangle in addition to the vein Ac. Arculus not beyond the last antenodals.

$28(27)$. Wing petioled to the level of one-half to two-thirds of the length of the quadrangle. Two antenodals. No accessory cross-veins before the subquadrangle. Arculus distinctly beyond the second antenodals. Madagascar. (Fig. 6I.)

Nesolestes Selys, I89I
29 (22). No sectors present between $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$. $\quad \mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ strongly arched beyond M.A. One sector between $\mathrm{M}_{1 a}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and one between $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$. Australia. (Fig. 63.).

Synlestes Selys, I860
$30(5)$. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ arising at least a cell beyond the subnodus. $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ usually not before the subnodus, at least not a cell's length before it. The wing petioled to beyond the level of the arculus . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 r
31 (32). Sectors $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$. Many sectors between $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ so that the distance on the hind margin between these two veins is as great as that from the level of MA to the end of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$. Quadrangle very long, almost or quite reaching the subnodus. Wing greatly petioled. Mexico to Central America. (Fig. 6t.)

Paraphlebia Hagen, 1861
32 (31). No sectors between $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1 a} . \mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ usually ending near together at the wing-margin
33 (36). The area behind $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ of two or three rows of cells. Supplementary sectors at least from $\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$ to $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$. $3 t$
$3+(35) . \mathrm{N}_{3}$ arising at the subnodus. Ac is slightly beyond the level of the first antenodal. New Guinea. (Fig. 65.).................Wahnesia Foerster, 1900 $35(34)$. $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ arising slightly beyond the subnodus, New Guinea.

Metagrion ${ }^{14}$ Calvert, 1913
36 (3.3). The area posterior to $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ of one row of cells only . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 37
37 (48). Supplementary sectors rather numerous. Some between $R_{s}$ and $M_{3} \ldots 3^{4}$
38 (41). Supplementary sectors from $M_{1 a}$ to $M_{4}$. Old World genera . . . . . . . . . . 39
39 (fo). $M_{3}$ arising from $M_{1+2}$ at the subnodus. Often more than two sectors between $M_{1 a}$ and $M_{2}$. Quadrangle not reaching the level of the nodus. South Africa. (Fig. 66.) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Chlorolestes Selys, I九62 $40(39)$. $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}}$ arising before the subnodus. Not more than two sectors between $\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. Quadrangle long, reaching the level of the nodus. Seychelles.

Allolestes ${ }^{14}$ Selys, IA60
4I (38). No sectors between $M_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$. Genera of South America............. $4^{2}$
$42(43)$. Antenodals more than two, at least in the hind wings. Ecnador.
Neuragrion ${ }^{14}$ Karsch, Ing)I
43 (42). Antenodals two only . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4
$44(47)$. $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ separating from $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ before the subnodus . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 45
45 (f()). Supplementary sectors from $M_{1 a}$ to $\mathrm{M}_{3}$, of ten none between $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4}$. I'sually an accessory cross-vein between Cu and $A$. Cuiana. (Fig. 67.)

Dimeragrion Calvert, IML3

[^7]46 (45). Supplementary sectors between $M_{y}$ and $R$, and two long ones between $R_{\text {a }}$ and $\_{3}$. No cros-s-veins in the sul)quadrangle or before it. Ecmador.

Heteropodagrion ${ }^{\text {t5 }}$ selys. 1 inis 5
$47(+4) . \lambda_{3}$ separating from $M_{1+2}$ beyond the subnodus. Supplementary sectors between $\lambda_{1 a}$ and $M_{2}$, and $R_{s}$ and $\lambda_{3}$. Colombia...... Mesagrion ${ }^{15}$ Selys, $14 n 5$
48 (3i). No supplementary sectors between $R_{s}$ and $M_{\ldots} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$.................
49 (50). Rs arising one cell beyond the subnodus. Two sectors between $M_{\text {wa }}$ and $M_{2}$, some also between $M_{2}$ and $R_{s}$, and $M_{1}$ and $M_{4}$. Curarched beyond $M_{1} X$. South Virica. (Fig. 66.) ......................... Chlorolestes Selys, 1862
50 (49). Re arising at least two or three cells beyond the subnodus. No sectors he-

51 (5t). It least some supplementary sectors present. Quadrangle not approaching very closely the pesterior wing-margin. Cur not strongly arched beyond 11.1

52 (5.3). One sector between $M_{1 n}$ and $M_{2}$. Mrica and Madagancar.
Protolestes ${ }^{15}$ Forerster, Is (x)
53 (52). Two sectors between $M_{1: 2}$ and $M_{2}$. The Naw World, from Mexien to Argentina. (Fig. 68.) ............................... Heteragrion Sely: 1 ¢ 62
$5+(5.1)$. No supplementary sectors except $X_{\text {ta }}$. The quadrangle at its distal end approaches the hind margin of the wing. Cu strongly arched beyond MI. $\quad .5$
55 (56). The fore wing of the male with the inner end of the quadrangle lacking. Ac about one-third of the way from the first to the second antenodal. R. arising four cells beyond the subnodus in the fore wing, three in the hind wing. The upper side of the quadrangle distinctly shorter than the lower side. Aus-

56 (5.5). The quadrangle touching the posterior wing-margin. The wing peetioled to $\lambda_{12} \quad \lambda_{3}$ arising one to one and one-half cells beyond the sulmodus. $R$, at five to seven cells beyond the subnodus. Costa Rica to Brazil. (Fig. ;o.)

Perilestes selys. 1:82

## Key to the Genera of the Subfamily Cocnagrioninae

1 (22). Quadrangle relatively regubsr, the upper side two-thirds to three-fourths: or more of the length of the lower in both wings. Wand Cus sararchl sizzan, son that most of the cells of the wing are forr-sided rather than five-sided; the fongitudinal veins arranged in a paralled and very regular tashom. Tibial spiner and quadrangle relatively long. (Leegion Platycnemis of Sely-: for the most part) .... 2
2 ( 13 ). Wings generally plainly not petioled to. Ac. R, ariving at the sumordus: . 3
3 (6). The upper side of the quadrangle more than three-fomerths the length of the lower side. Amenodal cells between $\mathrm{M}_{\text {s }}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ are three to five in number, ustally at least fomr. Io near the level of the first antemotal cross-veins. The first and second antennal segments short, the third long and slender.......... $t$
4 (5). Three to five antenodal cells between $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$. Arculas under the second

${ }^{15}$ Descriptions only were available for these genera
MEX. AM. ENT. NOC.. 3.

5 (4). Four antenodal cells between ${\Lambda_{4}}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$. The areulus between the first and second antenodals, much before the second. West Africa.

Mesocnemis ${ }^{16}$ Karsch, 1891
6 (3). The upper side of the quadrangle not more than three-fourths the length of the lower side; the antenodal cells three or less between $\mathrm{NI}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$. Ac generally beyond the level of the first antenodals. Antennae not as above except in Platycnemis and Stenocnemis
.7
7 (io). $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ long, extending beyond the level of the base of $\mathrm{M}_{1 a}$ which is six to seren cells before the level of the stigma. Postnodals fifteen or more. Posterior tibiae of males not dilated, superior anal appendages at least as long as the inferior
8 (9). Three antenodal cells between $\lambda_{4}$ and $C u_{1}$. About firteen postnodal cells in the front wing. The third segment of the antema shorter than the first plus the second. $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ long, extending beyond the level of the base of $\mathrm{\lambda}_{1_{n}, \text { which }}$ vein arises six to seven cells before the stigma. India to Burma. (Fig. iz.)

Calicnemis Selys, 1863
9 ( 8 ). Two antenudal cells between $M_{4}$ and $C u_{1}$. Front wing with eighteen to twenty postnodals. The third segment of the antenna longer than the first plus the second. West Africa..
.Stenocnemis ${ }^{17}$ Karsch, 1899
$10(7) . C u_{2}$ not extending beyond the level of the base of $\mathrm{M}_{12}$, the latter arising two to four cells before the stigma. About thirteen postnodals. Two antenodal cells between $\mathrm{NI}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$. Posterior tibiae of males more or less dilated, superior anal appendages shor ter than the inferior.


II (12). $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ extending almost to the level of the base of $\mathrm{\lambda 1}_{1 a}$. The second antennal segment as long as the third. Syria to Japan and Sumatra; Madagascar. (Fig. 73.)

## Copera Kirby; 1890

(Psilocnemis Selys, 1803)
12 (11). $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ not extending to the level of the base of $\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$ by several cells. The third antennal segment as long as the first plus the second. Mediterranean Region and China. (Fig. 74.).

Platycnemis Charpentier, 1840
13 (2). Wings petioled close to or beyond Ac; $R_{s}$ usually arising beyond the subnodus (sometimes at the subnodus in Allocnem is)


14 (21). $R_{s}$ arising within one or two cells of the subnodus . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 15
I5 (20). Wings not petioled to the level of the arculus. Ac almost as near the level of the second antenodal as of the first

16
if ( 17 ). The end of the wing with a denticulate margin. Superior appendages of the male longer than the inferior, which are straight. Malaysia. (Fig. 75.)

Idiocnemis Selys, 1878
17 (if). The end of the wing not denticulate at the margin. Superior appendages not longer than the inferior.
I8 (I9). The upper side of the quadrangle not more than three-fourths the length of the lower. Cuz extending berond the subnodus to a distance of thirteen to sisteen cells. Usually six to nine cells between the base of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and the point where $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ forks. Indial (1) Malaysia. (Fig. 70.) ............Coeliccia Kïrby, 189t
(Trichocnemis Selys. 1857)

[^8]19 （18）．The upper side of the quadrangle samely shomer than the fown（ $\mathrm{Ha}_{2}$ extending six to eight cells beyond the level of the－ubnodus．Four whe five cells
 （Fis．7－．） the firstanten $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ving or subnodus．Madagascar．（Fig．－8．）Tatocnemis kirlo．1hay

21 （14）．R，arising four ormore cells beyond the subnodus，at least in the fromt wing． Ac about one－half way between the antenodals．Philippines．（Fig．7o．）

Prionocnemis kirby，Ingo
（IIypoonemis Selys，186．3）
22 （1）．The quadrangle generally＇with a very acute distal lower angle，the upper side of the quadrangle usually less than two－thirds the length of the upper side． $X_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ usually zigzag，so that many cells are pentagonal．Wings generally not long nor with the straight appearance of those under rubric（1）．（If the wings have the general appearance of those under（ I ），the quadrangle is acute at the end．If the quadrangle is regular，the postnodals are few in mumber and the second antenodals are before the arculus．）

23
23 （28）．MI and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ not strongly zigzag，so that the principal veins are long，straight and give the wing the straight，uniform appearance of the Platycnemis group． Tibial spines relatively long ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 24
$24(27)$ ．In the front wing the inner end of the cuadrangle is about as long as the upper side． $\mathrm{I}_{1-2}$ forks much nearer the origin of $\mathrm{I}_{13}$ than the subnodus．．．．．25
25 （26）．The upper side of the quadrangle about one－third as long as the lower－ide． The superior appendages of the mate longer than the inferior，and compre－ed． and bent at the end．Seychelles．（Fig．so．）Leptocnemis kirly．1ヶgめ （Ifemicnemis selys．1ハnz）
26 （25）．The upper side of the quadrangle about one－half as long as the lower side． The superion appendages of the male thick，short．compresed．and conical in form．Nadagascar．

Paracnemis ${ }^{19}$ Martin， 1003
27 （24）．The inner end of the quadrangle of the forewing about onc－third the length of the upper side；the quadrangle long and narrow． $\mathrm{IL}_{1-2}$ forking about one－ half way between the subnodus and the base of $\mathrm{M}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$ ．（hili．（Fig．nt．）

Antiagrion Ris． 1904
2n（23）． $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{H}_{1}$ zigzag； $\mathrm{II}_{1+2}$ usually curved somewhat at or beyond the sub－ nodal region，instead of running straightout ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 29
20）（fo）．Tibial spines long，generally alout twice as long as the intereming apaces． In the fromt wing the inner end of the cquadrangle generally longer than the upper part of the arculus and as long as the upper side of the quadrangle．Quadrangle widened distally．Wing not petioled to the level of To
${ }^{18}$ De Selys＇description of Nesochemis fits than of Tatocnemis almost exactly；the two are evidently the same genus．
${ }^{19}$ Studied from the description only：
MEM．AM．ENT．SOC．， 3.

30 (31). Tarsal claws symmetrically cleft, so that the superior and inferior teeth are about equal. The ninth segment of the abdomen almost equals the eighth. The first segment of the antenna scarcely visible; superior male appendages as long as the inferior. Malaysia

Onychargia Selys. 1865
31 (30). Tarsal claws not symmetrically cleft, the superior and inferior teeth not equal

32
32 (33). The area posterior to $\mathrm{C}_{42}$ tends to be two cells wide and the second antenodal is of ten slightly beyond the level of the arculus. $M_{4}$ becomes zigzag at the level of the fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$. Mexico to Colombia. (Fig. 82.)

Hyponeura Selys, 1854
3.3 (32). The area back of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ of one row of cells only
$3+$ (35). The prolongation of the nodal cross-vein through the vein $\mathrm{MI}_{1+2}$ is generally. at first perpendicular to $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$. $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ in the front wing forks six cells beyond the subnodus; in the hind wings four cells beyond. Tibial spines three times as long as the intervening spaces. New Guinea. (Fig. 83.) Palaiargia Foerster, 1903
3.5 (34). The prolongation of the nodal cross-vein through the vein $\mathrm{N}_{1+2}$ is not perpendicular to $\mathrm{Il}_{1+2} \ldots \ldots$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $3^{6}$
36 (37). Cu $u_{2}$ long, extending to the level of the origin of $\mathrm{M}_{12}$, especially in the hind wing, and at least ten or twelve cells beyond the subnodus. Generally fourteen or more postnodal cells; three to seven antenodal cells between $M_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$. The New World, Moluccas, Cape of Good Hope, Kurile Islands. (Fig. 84.)

Argia Rambur, $18 \not{ }^{2}$
37 (36). C $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ short, not extending more than four or five cells beyond the level of the subnodus. About ten cells present between the nodus and the stigma........ $3^{\text {b }}$
38 (39). An apical spine on segment eight of the female. The arculus slightly beyond the second antenodal. Three antenodal cells between $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{11}$. West Indies and Central America. (Fig. 86.).............Argiallagma Selys, 1876
39 (38). No apical spine on segment eight of the female. Thie arculus at the second antenodal. Two antenodal cells between $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$. Brazil. (Fig. 85.)

Diargia Calvert, 1909
to (29). Tibial spines shorter, scarcely longer than the intervening spaces; if long, the wings are petioled to or beyond Ac . The inner end of the quadrangle usually not longer than the upper part of the arculus in the fore wing, nor longer than the upper side of the quadrangle. Quadrangle generally not widened distall! ..... +1
4I (88). No pale post-ocular spots present on a dark background. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . t $^{2}$
42 (53). Female with apical spine on segment ciglıt ${ }^{20} \ldots \ldots \ldots$....................... 3
(.) Wing petioled to the vein Ac. The quadrangle in the front wing with a very pointed distal tower angle, so that the upper side is shorter than or only as long as the inner end. Tenth segment of the ablomen with a deeply cut posterior margin. Considerahle hlue in the coloration. South America. (Fig. 12t.)

Skiallagma Foerster, 1906
${ }^{20}$ The following two genera cannot be fitted into the key, because the females are unknown, but a brief description may aid in their determination.
（B）＂Arculus at，or wery＂near to，the second antenodal； $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ on the hind wings arising nearest the fourth postnodal；costal edge of the stigmat of the hing wings shorter than the proximal or distal edges；Cund $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ not reaching as far distad as the level of origin of $X_{1: s}$ and $X_{2} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ ；$A \ldots \ldots$ separating from the hind margin proximal to，or less frequently at，the cubito－anal cross－vein． which is situated nearer the level of the first than of the second antenodal；venation of the tips of front and hind wings similar，abclomen chiefly red，tenth segment of the male not elevated dorsalls，vulvar spine？＂Considerable orange and red in the coloration，with black markings．Brazil．（Fig．96．）

Tigriagrion Calvert，1909
4．3（48）．Wing not petioled all the way to．Io ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 44
$44(47)$ ．Genera of the New II orld．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 45
45 （46）．Abrlomen chielly red．The costal side of the stigma not shorter than the proximal or distal sides． $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ extending at least to or beyond the level of the base of $M_{13}$ ．Cu，to or berond that of $M_{2}$ ．In the hind wing the inner end of the quad－ rangle not as long as the upper side．North and South America．（Fig．As．） Amphiagrion Selys． $1:-7,0$
$46(45)$ ．Abdomen generally with some red．The costal edge of the stigma is shorter in the hind wing than the proximal or distal sides．Venation otherwise much like the preceding．Mexico and Inited States．（Fig．95．）

Hesperagrion Calvert，Ionz
$47(44)$ ．Genus of the Old World．Front margin of the stigma longer than the pos－ terior one．Quadrangle exceedingly narow：South Moyssinia．

Thermagrion ${ }^{21}$ Finetster， 1006
48 （43）．Wing petioled to or herond Ac ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 49
49 （50）．Agenus of the Old llorld．Coloration largelyblack and blue．Tibiace with long spines．Lower woth of tarsal daw almost as large as the upper．Oceanica． （Fig．116．）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．Xiphiagrion Selys，1ヵフォ
50 （49）．Genera of the New World．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 51
$51(52) .1_{3}$ not arising very close to the subnodus，but at least one－hatif cell before it Coloration light，much red．Color pattern of dorsum of thoras with no markings darker than brown．Some blue dfen present．South America and $1 f$ estern

52 （51）． $1_{3}$ arising very mear the submoktas，not more than one－fifth of a cell before it．Axtomen chiefly reddish and yellowish．（Fig．127．）

Leptobasis helys， $1 \times 7$
5t（42）．Female without apical spine on segment eight．．．．．．．．．．． 5 ．
$54(55)$ ．Two complete rows of cells berond the stigma between C and $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ ，this area much broader than the corresponding me be ween $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ．The wing－margin slighty indented at the stignal．Considerable red in the body coloration．Fiast Indies．（Fig．8－．）

Oreagrion Ris，101．：
55 （54）．Rarely two complete rows of cells beyond the sigma loetween（＂and R1． lling－margin not indented at the stigma
${ }^{21}$ Studied from description only：
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56) (50). Wing very evidently not petioled to the level of Ac; petiolation ceasing as far before it as Ic is long. 57
57 (58). Colors red and dark bronze. Europe and Nediterranean Region to China. (Fig. A9.).. Pyrrhosoma Charpentier. Is 40
56 (57). Coloration without red, but is bronze varied with blue, green, or yellow. Europe, Asia Minor, and Chili? (Fig. 90.)....Erythromma Charpentier, isfo $59(56)$. Wing petioled very close to the level of Ao 60
(o) ( 71 ). Genera of the New World . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6 I

61 (6A). Wing not petioled beyond Ac. Tarsal daw with rather strong inferior tooth

62
$62(6,3)$. Postnodals eighteen to twenty, Cus extending to the level of the base of Mta, which arises four or more cells before the stigma. Colors of the dorsum blue and black; yellow beneath the thorax. United States and Canada. (Fig. 108.)

Chromagrion Needhan, 1903
6,3 (62). Postnodals fourteen or fewer, Cun not extending to the level of the base of $11_{\text {la }}$, the latter arising one to two cells belore the stigma

64
$0^{6}\left(6_{5}\right)$. Coloration not reddish. Nodomen usually thirty or more millimeters long. 65
$05(66)$. The descending cross-vein irom the subnodus continuous to the wingmargin. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ narrowly separated at the proximal cross-vein between them. Tooth on tarsal claw well developed but distinctly shorter than the claw. Male inferior appendagen well developed. South America. (Fig. I 20.)

Aeolagrion ${ }^{22}$ Williamson, 1917
$6,6(6,5)$. The descending cross-vein from the subnodus not continued directly to the wing-margin. Tooth on the tarsal claw large, almost as large as the claw; male inferior appendages rudimentary: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ widely separated at the proximal cross-vein between them. South America. (Fig. I19.)

Leptagrion Selys, 1876 , sens. strict.
$6,7(64)$. Coloration reddish. Tarsal claw with a small inferior tooth. Abdomen generally less than thirtw millimeters long. Inited States and West Indies to Brazil. (Fig. 122.)......................................... Telebasis Selys, 1865 (Erythragrion Selys, I8-6)
os $(6,1)$. Wing petioled beyond Ae. Tarsal claw with a very small or no inferior twoth 69
(o) $(70)$. $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ arising nearest the fifth or sixth postnodals in the hind wings; at the -ixth or beyond in the front wings. Tarsal claw toothless; superior appendages of male not bent down almost at a right angle in their apical half. Genital valves wi the female not reaching far beyond the level of the tips of the abdominal appendages. Central America to Brazil. (Fig. I26.)

Metaleptobasis Calvert, 1907
${ }^{22}$ This genus includes Leptagrion dorsale, hence IIylaeagrion of Foerster, 1906. Williamson (Entom. New's, 1917, p. 241) arrives at the conclusion that Foerster's $H$ ylaeagrion argentolineatum is in reality $L$. dorsale, and his $I I$. croceum Selys is Leptobasis, probably racillans. Therefore the generic name IIytacagrion falls, $L$. dorsale becomes the type of Aeolagrion and L. macrurum is that of Leptagrion.

7o $(6 y)$ ． $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ arising nearest the fourth postnedal in the hind winks．the fatt it the front wings．Tarsal claws toothed．The superior appendages of the mate ient down almost at a right angle in their apical half．（ienital walse of the female reach far beyond the level of the tips of the abdominal appendages．Wiat Indien and Brazil．（Fig．127．）．

Leptobasis－小y＝ 1 －－
$71(60)$ ．Genera of the Old World． i2

73 （so）．Inferior tooth of tarsal claws well developed，when compared with the $=1-$ perior woth．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．
7t（75）．Wing ten to welve mm．Wong；arculas distinctly besond the second an－ tenodal，inner end of guadrangle lateking in the male．Postondals sin to eight． Australia．（Fig．1of．）．

Hemiphlebia Sely－．1 $\sim$（x）
75 （74）．Wing usually twenty or more mom．long：arculus near the level wh the ser－ ond antenotals；inner end of quadrangle present ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．Fn
$7^{6}(-7)$ ．Cotoration yellowish or reddish．India to dustralia and Vrica．（Fi－．

$77(76)$ ．Coleration not light． －
－s（ 79 ）．Largel！black．Tihial spines kong．Fiji Islands and Xew（inined．

$79(-8)$ ．Bronze and dark．Tibial spines very short．Aru and Bivak Island－ （Fig．II九．）．

Papuagrion Ris．Int；
So（7．3）．Inferior tooth of the taral claw lacking or very small．．．．．．．．is
8I（82）．Largely black．Tibial spines long．Malaysia and Polynesia．
Nesobasis ${ }^{24}$ Selys．IngI
82 （ 81 ）．Coloration bronze．varied with green and hack．wings broad．Black bands on head，thorax，and abdomen．Mrica．．．．．．．Argiagrion ${ }^{2+}$－dy－．In－1
$83(, 2) . \lambda_{3}$ arising almost or quite at the submodus．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．

85（s6）．Stigma square oroblong，followed by a single row of cell－．Colotation mot very metallic．Jhalaysia．（Fig．12ヶ．）Teinobasis kirhy．Inッ一

Telehusis Sell＝．Iケ－－
S6（ 8 §）．Stigma five－sided and followed he two rows of cells．Malassia and Pols－

 nodus．Wing petioled to or beyond the level of the arculus．Malaysid．Fin

sis $(+1)$ ．Pale postocular sipots present on a dark background，whough omoctime－ confluent with the light coloring of the rear of the head：apjarently win thow genera with dichromatic females．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．
${ }^{23}$ Ceriagrion is here used to include Brachybusis；in the opinion of 1 ）r．Ri－the latte＂ cannot stand as a genus．see p． 3 F 7 of his＂odonatandeh den－ammbensen 1. Schultzes und Katalog der（domaten von Suedafrika，＂1gns．Denkichr Wed．－natwios．
Ces．Jena，13：303－346．
${ }^{24}$ Studied from description onls．
MEM．AM．ENT．SOC．，A．

89 (94). Stigma in fore and hind wings of males dissimilar in color, size or both Tenth segment of alodomen of male generally with a bifid dorsal process. Female often with apical spine to segment eight of abdomen. Postocular spots, in female at least, tend to disappear. Females dichromatic or polychromatic. . . . りo 90 (91). In the male the stigma of the front wing does not touch the costa. Female with no external black stripe on second or third tibiae. Coloration black, orange, and yellowish. Postocular spots, in females at least, tend to disappear. United States to I'enezuela and West Indies. (Fig. 97.)

Anomalagrion Selys, 1857
91 (90). Stigma in male touching C. Female generally with an external black stripe on second and third tibiae. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 92
92 (93). Penis with pair of erect spines on the pemultimate segment. In American species at least, the anterior surface of thorax not solid black. Cosmopolitan. (Fig. ıоо.)...... Ischnura Charpentier, 1840. (Micronympha Kirby, isgo) $93(92)$. Penis with pair of erect spines on pentultimate segment. Anterior surface of thorax solid black, except in green females, middle prothoracic lobe with small tooth on each side. Xrizona to Baja California. (Fig. Io1.)

Celaenura Ǩennedy, 1917
94 (89). Stigma in fore and hind wings of male similar . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 95
95 (102). The second antenodals distinctly before the level of the arculus. Both wings not petioled to Ac, but the vein from Ac back to the wing-margin, that is $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$, does not continue the direction of the petioled part of the margin, instead it passes (u) toward ic and Ab .96
96 (97). Female with apical spine on segment eight. Postnodals six to seven. Coloration dark bronze, with yellow and blue. Dichromatic females. I gemus of the New World-tropical America and the West Indies. (Fig. Ioz.)

Ceratura Selys, 18 -6
97 (96). Female without apical spine. Old World genera . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 98
98 (101). Postnodals five to nine; females dichromatic; very small insects, usually less than 24 mm . long 99
99 ( 100 ). Quadrangle of fore wing with upper side less than half as long as the lower side: hence distal angle very acute. Legs very long in comparison with rest of body: Australia. (Fig. 103.)..................Austrocnemis Tillyard, 1013
Ioo (99). Duadrangle of fore wing with upper side one-half or more than one-hali of length of lower. Legs short. Australia to India. (Fig. 104.)

Agriocnemis Selys, 1869
10I (98). Postnodials ten to twelve; females not dichromatic; chiefly insects of more moderate size i.e. abolomen of twente-five or more mm. India to Australia, and in Zanzibar and Madagascar. (Fig. 105.).... Argiocnemis Selys. 1877
$102(95)$. The second antenodals not distinctly lefore the arculus, or if so, either one wing petioled to Ac or the vein $\Lambda^{\prime}$ continues direction of the hind margin of wing up) to print of petiolation 103
10.3 (II 0 ). Both wings distinctly not petioled to the level of Ac. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 104
$\left.{ }^{10}\right)^{4}$ (III). Female without apical spine to segment eight . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 105
$105(106)$. Stature very slender, abdomen especially so. Coloration metallic and Drilliant, similar in both sexes. Europe, China, North and South Amorica. (Fig. yı.)

Nehalennia Sclys, is.50
ion ( $\mathrm{IO}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ). Stature more rolnst, abdomen nut extremely slender: coloration not very brilliant and dissimilar in sexes ....................................... 107
107 ( IOB ). Postocular spots elongated, almost linear, with other transverse lines between them. Two small clear spots between the ocelli. Superior appendages of male longer than last abdominal segment and arcuate. Europe, Asia Xinor.
 $108\left(10^{-}\right)$. Postocular spots cuneate or rounded, no spots between the ocelli. Superior appendages of ten short and straight 10)

109 (IIO). Species of Hawaiian Islands. Characters variable. (Fig. 9.)
Megalagrion ${ }^{26}$. McLachlan, 1 ans
I 10 (109). Not Hawaiian, nor Australian, otherwise quite comopolitan. (Fig. 94.)
Coenagrion Kirby, fayo
(.1grion Fabricius, 1-75)

III (104). Female with apical spine on segment eight.............................. 12
112 (113). Costal edge of stigma shorter than the proximal or distal edges. Cus reaching the level of base of $\mathrm{M}_{12}$, Cu2 that of origin of $\lambda_{2}$. Postocular spots sometimes disappearing. United States and Mexico. (Fig. 95.)

Hesperagrion Calvert, 1(9)2
113 (112). Costal edge of stigma as long as proximal or distal edges. . . . . . . . . . $11+$
$11+(115) . \lambda 1_{2}$ of hind wing generally arising at or beyond the fourth postmodal, tenth segment of male not elevated. Coloration of mate generally blue, of temale green or yellow, sometimes blue. Cosmopolitan, not in Australia. (Fig. 94.).

Enallagma Charpentier, in $\ddagger 0$
IIS (IIt). Tenth segment of male elevated and with a bifid dorsal process. Penis with transverse row of short spines at base of distal or spatulate lobe. $\mathrm{II}_{2}$ of hind wing arises at abont the fifth postnodal. California. (Fig. 99.)

Zoniagrion Kemmedy: 1917
116 (103). One or both wings petioled practically to or even beyond the level of - Ac...................................................................................... II $_{7}$
${ }^{11} 7$ ( 108 ). Pale postocular spots widely confluent with the pale color on the rear of the head. $\mathrm{II}_{2}$ arising nearest the fourth postnodat in the hind wings and the fifth in the front wings. Tarsal claws toothed. Superior appendages of the male bent down almost at a right angle in their apical half. (benital values of female reaching far beyond the level of the tips of the abdominal appendages. IVest Indies to Brazil. (Fig. 127.) ......................... Leptobasis ${ }^{27}$ Sclys. 147
${ }^{25}$ Cercion has been shown by Kennedy, 1917, Emt. News, to include C. lindenii, C. quadrigerum, and (C. punctum, all formerly considered species of 'ocnagrion.
${ }^{2} 6$ Megalagrion was said by Perkins (Fauna Hawaiensis Vol. I, p. ctaxy and \ol. 11, pp. 63 to 77 and 693 to 696) to have heen separated by Medachlan from the genus Coenagrion on inconstant characters. Kennedy (Eni. News, 1917), in a study of the penes of many of the Hawaiian species, considers it probable that all the species of those islands may be considered as comprising the genus. Megalagrion.
${ }^{27}$ Pale postocular sputs not alway's present. Females sometimes with, sometimes without, vulvar spine. See ( 52 ) and ( 70 ).

MEM. AM. ENT. SOC., 3 .

> IIt (II7). Pale pontocular spots not widely confluent with the pale color on the rear of the head. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . II In II9 ( 124 ). Female with apical spine to segment eight²s....................... . . . 20 120 (12I). Genus of the New World. Colors bronze and blue or greenish. The eighth or ninth or tenth segments blue. End of tenth more or less prolonged or turned back. Mexico to South America. (Fig. ilo.)

Acanthagrion Selys, 18-6
I2I (I20). (enera of the Old IVorld . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 122
122 ( 123 ). $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ arising before the subnodus; front wing with twelve to fifteen postnodals. Coloration yellowish, greenish and bluish with black markings. Kilimanjaro and Merı, Africa. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Mombagrion ${ }^{29}$ Sjöstedt, Ig09
12.3 (122). $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ arising almost at the subnodus; front wing with nine to twelve postnodals. Coloration lolack, blue, and dark green. Malaysia and West Africa.
(Fig. I23.) .................................................. Aciagrion Selyss, IS92
124 (II9). Female without apical spine on segment eight . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 125
125 (128). Genera of the New World . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 126
I26 (127). Tips of the wings similar, abdomen very long and slender. Tenth segment of male not forked. Coloration greenish or yellowish. $\mathrm{N}_{13}$ arising one or two cells before the stigma. Eastern U'nited States and Brazil. (Fig. ini.)

Telagrion Selys, is-6
127 (126). Tips of front and hind wings of male unlike; $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ in the hind wing almost unite at the tip. Segment ten of the male with a tubercle above and two spines. Coloration bluish or reddish, or brownish. Mexico to Central America. (Fig. 125.)

Anisagrion Selys, 18 - 6
128 (125). Genera of the Old World . 129
129 (1,38). $\lambda_{3}$ arising quite distinctly before the subnodus. Tarsal claw generally
with an inferior tooth 130
130 (133). Prothorax of female provided with two hooks which project forward. . 13, 131 (132). Ac at or but slightly beyond the level of the first antenodals, not more than one-fourth the distance to the second ones. Coloration blue. Australia. (Fig. 107.) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Caliagrion Tillyard, 1912 1.32 (131). In Australian species, at least, Ac is about one-half way between the first and second antenodals. Superior appendages of male usually forked or hollowed at tips. Africa and Asia to Australia. (Fig. II4.) Pseudagrion Selys. I876 13.3 (1.30). Prothorax of female simple, no hooks present . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . I34
25. Skiallagma sometimes has traces of postocular spots; female unknown. See description in footnote to rubric forty-two.

My,ugrion, female unknown. Male described as follows: Color carmine-red. Quadrangle irregular, upper side contained in the lower two and one-half times, in the front wing. (' $u_{2}$ thirteen cells long. $\mathrm{NI}_{2}$ arises six cells beyond the subnodus in the front wing, five in the hind wing. Abdomen very slender, legs short, tibial spines weak. Tergum of tenth abdominal segment produced into two spines, but without a tubercle such ats occurs in Anisagrion. Appendages scarcely as long as these spines. Gouth America, Foersler, 1914.
${ }^{29}$ studied from description only:

134（135）．Ac at the level of the first antenodals．Postmodals eleven to fifteen． Coloration red．Australia and New Zealand．（Fig．115．）

Xanthagrion Selys． $1 \times-6$
135 （13t）．Ac about half－way between the first and second antenodels．．．．．．．．．．．ish 136 （137）．Front wing not petioled quite w．Ac，the hind wing so petioled．Inferior appendages of male much longer than superiors．New Zealand．（Fig．If 2 ．）

Xanthocnemis Tillyard，ig13
137 （ 136 ）．Both wings petioled to Ac．Superior appendages of male longer than in－ ferior；small species of blue and bronze color．（Fig．I I 3．）

Austroagrion Tillyard， 1913
${ }_{1} 38$（129）． $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ arising very near to subnodus，almost touching base of R ．．Tarsal claw without an inferior tooth．Body slender．Malaysia to Australia．（Fig． 124．）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．Archibasis Kirby，isgo
（Stenobasis helys，Iがす）

## Key to the Genera of the Subfamily Protoneurinae

I（4）． $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ one cell long
2 （3）．Usually more than It postnodal cells．Ac near the levelof the first amtenodals． $\mathrm{In}_{12}$ arising six．to eight cells before the sigma．C $u_{1}$ is six to seven cells long． West Africa．（Fig．I30．）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．Chlorocnemis helys． $1 \times 6,3$
3 （2）．Less than 10 postnodal cells．Ac about midway between the antemedals． $\mathrm{M}_{13}$ arising one cell before the stigma．（ $u_{1}$ is four cells long．Brazil．（Fig．I．3i．）

Proneura Selys．Inoog
4 （1）．Cur reduced to a crosis－tein or lacking，not projecting beyond \I．1 ．．．．．． 5
5 （18）．A not reduced to Ac，but extending beyond ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．
6 （13）．A ending in M．X．and not in Cu on the posterior side of the quadrangle．nor in the hind margin of the wing before 11.1 ．No＂cubito－anal＂cros－－vein－before Ac．That edge of the stigma along the margin of the wing as great as that along $R_{1}$ ．The quadrangle practically uniform in width
7 （s）．Labium with short lobes．The wings of ten not petioled to．Ac．Cu conding at or beyond the level of the subnedus．India，Africa，Mlalaysia．（Fip．132．）

Disparoneura helys．ist（x）seths．strict．
$8(7)$ ．Labium with elongate lobes 9
9 （1o）．Cu ending three to five cells beyond the level of the subnodus．Wing petioled almost to Ac．Front wings with three cells between the subnodu－and fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ ．Brazil．（Fig．I3ł．）．

Peristicta selys． $1 \times(x)$
Io（9）． $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ ending within a cell of the level of the subnodus．Wing petioled to or slightly beyond Ac．Oiten four or more cells between the sulonodus and fork of $\mathrm{MI}_{1}+2$

II
if（i2）．Ac not nearer the level of the second antenodal than of the first．The first antenodal space generally more than one and one－half times as long at the second． Five or more cells in the hind wing beyond the stigma．Tibial spines－hort． West Indies，Central America to Brazil．（Fig．I 3．3．）．．．．．．．Neoneura Selys．Istou
（Cacnoneura K゙irby，I トッ）

12 (1I). Ac nearer the level of the second antenodal than of the first. The first antenodal space less than one and one-half times the length of the second. Three or four cells beyond the stigma. Brazil. (Fig. 135.)...Idioneura Selys, i 860 I3 (6). A ending in Cu under the quadrangle or in the wing margin, but not reaching MA. . 4
I4 (17). A ending in Cu on the posterior side of the quadrangle. A "cubito-anal" cross-rein generally present before Ac. That edge of the stigma along the wing margin shorter than that along $\mathrm{R}_{1}$.
15 ( 16 ). C $u_{1}$ ending generally some distance beyond the level of the fork of $\mathrm{Ma}_{1+2}$. about two-thirds of the distance from the nodus to the stigma. $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ forking between one-third and one-half the distance from the subnodus to the stigma. Mexico to Veneztrela. (Fig. 136.)................... Palaemnema Selys, 1860
16 ( 15 ). C $u_{1}$ ending not more than a cell or so beyond the fork of $M_{1+2}$, usually before it, $\mathrm{M}_{1^{+}}$often forking less than one-third the distance from the subnodus to the stigma. Ceylon to Malaysia. (Fig. 137.).

Platysticta Selys, 1860
If (I4). A ending in the hind margin of the wing between Ac and MA. No cubitoanal cross-vein before Ac. The costal edge of the stigma as long as that edge along $R_{1}$. Southeastern Asia and Malaysia. (Fig. 138.)

Hypostrophoneura ${ }^{30}$ gen. nov.
18 (5). The rein A reduced to Ac, not extending beyond . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 19
i9 (4,3). Ac distinctly beyond the level of the first antenodal; Cusually extending bey ond the level of the subnodus . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 20
20 (35). The subquadrangle shorter than the portion of the wing behind $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{A}$ and before Ac. Genera of the New. World and two of the East Indies and Southern Asia. 2

21 (24). Genera of the Old World. Labium with short lobes. About sixteen postnodals 22

22 (23). Accessory cross-sein before Ac. The costal edge of the stigma shorter than that along $\mathrm{R}_{1}$. India to Malaysia. (Fig. It4.) ....... Protosticta Selys, i 885 2.3 (22). No accessory cross-vein before Ac. The costal edge of the stigma not shorter than that along $R_{1}$. Malaysia. Disparoneura Selys, 1860 , sens. lat. Caconeura ${ }^{31}$ Kirby, i 890 sens. strict. Alloncura, Selys, 1860 sens. strict.
24 (21). Genera of the New World. Labium with elongated lobes. About twelve postnodals. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 25
${ }^{30}$ This genus is created for those species of Disparoneura placed by Selys (i886) in the third group, and has as its type gomphoides Rambur. It is distinct from Disparoneura, sens. strict., by having A end before MA and not in MA, and by Cus usually being much shorter than in Disparoncura. It receives its name from the fact that it turns downward: $i \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta=$ a turning backward, and $\nu \in \hat{v} \rho o \nu=$ nerve.
${ }^{31}$ As here treated this genus comprises only the first group of Alloneura as given by Selys (1886). It, therefore, contains the following species: C. dorsalis Selys, C. lansbergei Sdys, C. gracillima Selys, C. hyperythra Selys, and C. dohrni Kirueger. See rubric 49 for Risioneura. Dr. Ris and Mr. Laidlaw consider this section of Caconcura as belonging to Disparoncura; the genus Caconcura thus passes out of existence, since C. dorsalis is the genotype. See Ris (1915) and Laidlaw (1913).
$25(30)$ ． $\mathrm{I}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ arising separately and the latter arising at the subumas 26
$26(27)$ ．Legs quite long；femora strongly compressed．Tihial spines long，numer－ ous．Nodus not very obligue，so that Se ends beyom the level of the base of $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ ． Cuba．（Fig．145．）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．Microneura Mclys，IA内゙
27 （26）．Legs short；femora not compressed．Vodus oblique，su that Se ends before the level of the base of $\mathrm{X}_{3} \ldots \ldots . \ldots$. ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 28
$2 \%(20)$ ．Wings narrow，about one－sixth or one－serenth as wide as long．The first antenodal space twice as long as the second and also longer than the third．Ac often very near the level of the first antenodals．Culna and Central Ameriea w Brazil．（Fig．I $q$ G．）

Protoneura Selys， 1857
29 （25）．Wings broader，about one－fifth as wide as long．The hirst antenordal ejace twice as long as the second，and equal to the third．Ac usually at a level inter－ mediate between the antenorlals．British Cuiana．（Fig．147．）

Epipleoneura Williamoon，I9I5
$30(25) . \lambda_{3}$ and $R_{s}$ joined at their origin into a single bein arising beyond the sub）－ nodus；or they may arise as separate veins，but if so， $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ still arise beyond the subnoclus．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 3 II
31 （32）．Cu about two and one－half cells long，so that it paseses through the crosis－ vein coming down from the subnodus．The first and third antenotal costal spaces about equal；the second much shorter，more than one－half of the third． Stigma long and narrow，twice as long as wide．British（ruiana．（Fig．iqn．）

Epipotoneura Williamson， 1915
32 （31）．Cus ending at the cross－vein descending from the subnodus．The three antenodal costal spaces about equal．Wings rather broad，aloust one－fourth as wide as long．Stigma shorter．

33
33 （34）．$M_{2}$ in the front wing arises at the seventh postnodal；in the hind wing at the fifth．British Guiana．．．．．．．．．．．．．

Phasmoneura ${ }^{32}$ Williamson， 1916
34 （33）． $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in the front wing arises before the seventh postuodal，usually at or be－ fore the sisth；in the hind wing at the fourth or lefore．British Cuiana．（Fig． 149．）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．Psaironeura Williamson， 1915
35 （20）．The subquadrangle of about the same length as the space before Ac． Australian genera．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 36
．3）（39）．Cu1 more than one cell long and traversing the cross－vein coming down from the sulonodus．The space between the fork of $X_{1-2}$ and the base of $\lambda \lambda_{1 a}$ is not ower two－thirds as great as that between the fork of $\lambda_{1} \ldots$ and the subnodus
37 （38）． $\mathrm{I}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$ arising about five cells before the stigma in the hind wing．The quad－ rangle almost as long as the second antenodal space．Cu1 extending six（o）seven cells beyoud the quadrangle．New houth Wiales．（Fig．I 3y．）

Neosticta Tillyard， 191.3
$3^{n}(37)$ ．$I_{\text {ta }}$ arising about three cells before the stigma in the hind wing．The efuad－ rangle shorter than the seond antenotal space．Cu1 extending three to lour cells beyond the quadrangle．Australia．（Fig．Ifo．）

Austrosticta Tillyard，ェyon
${ }^{32}$ Description only was available for this genus．
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39 (36). Cur not more than one cell long and not reaching the cross-vein which comes down from the subnodus (this true in the hind hind wing at least). The space between the base of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{t}_{2}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}^{+2}}$ at least four-fifths of that between the

to ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ). Cu1 less than one cell long. $\mathrm{II}_{3}$ arising before the subnodus. The stigma longer than wide. The second antenodal space about one-half as long as the third. The space between the forking of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ and the base of $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ is longer than that between the subnodus and the forking of $M_{1+2}^{\circ}$, in the hind wing. Australia. (Fig. 141.) ......................................... Notoneura Tillyard, 191,3
41 (fo). $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ about one cell long. $\mathrm{II}_{3}$ arising beyond the subnodus. The stigma about as wide distally as long. The second antenodal space as long as the third; the space between the base of $\mathrm{I}_{13}$ and the forking of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ not as long as that between the subnodus and the forking of $\lambda 1_{1+2}$ in the hind wing. Australia. (Fig. 1.42.).

Oristicta Tillyard, 1913
+2 (19). Ac at or belore the level of the first antenodals; $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ usually not extending to the level of the subnodus. 43
43 (50). $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ generally not reduced to a cross-vein, but extending beyond M1A. $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ may be lacking. $R_{1}$ on lower side of stigma not zigzag, but straight.......... $+t$
$4+(47)$. Quadrangle widened distally. Area back of $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ beyond $\mathrm{MIA}^{2}$ broad, at least one-third as great as the width of the wing at that point . . . . . . . . . ............. . ,
45 ( 46 ): Head very small, thorax narrow, legs short, abdomen exceedingly attenuate. About nine postnodals. Australia. (Fig. 141.) Notoneura Tillyard, 1913 46 (45). Head larger, thorax not so narrow, but form robust, tibiae with manyspines. About fourteen postnodals. Australia. (Fig. 151.)..Nososticta Selys, I860
47 (4t). Quadrangle not widened distally. Area beyond MA not so broad as above. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . t t
${ }^{4} 8(49) . \lambda_{3}$ in some species arising just beyond subnodus. $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ in species from East Indies is at least two cells long, in Australian species less. In Australian species at least, "both superior and inferior appendages of males somewhat forcipate, the inferior pair prolonged beyond the superior." New Caledonia, Bismarck Archipelago and Torres Straits. (Fig. 150 .) .................. Isosticta Selys, I885
49 (48). $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ always arising before the subnodus. $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ in the front wing almost always less than two cells long, generally ending in the first cell beyond M.A. Malaysia to Bismarck Archipelago. (Fig. 152.) ....... Risioneura ${ }^{33}$ gen. nov.
$50(43)$. Cut reduced to a cross-vein, not extending beyond M1.1. $R_{1}$ on lower side of stigma zigzag. $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ arising beyond the subnodus. New 'Guinea. (Fig. 153.)

Selysioneura Foerster, 1900
${ }^{33}$ This genus is made for those species of Caconeura (Alloneura) included by Selys (1886) in his second group and differs from Caconeara, sens. strict., in having Ac situated under or before the first antenodals and $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ rarely long enough to reach the cross-vein coming down from the subnodus. R. plagiata Selys is the genotype; the other specics included are R. insignis selys, $R$. erythroprocta sely's, R. wallacii Selys, R. exul selys, R. moluccensis Selys, R. flazipennis selys, R. salomonis Selys, R. circumscripta selys, $R$.
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Calvert．P．P．Ingi．Anew genusand－pecieron Odonata from Jamaica． Entom．Vews．2：IO9．

I 0.3 （a）．Catalogue of the Odonata draconflice of the sicinits of Phila－ delphia．with an introduction to the study of thiseroup of ineect．

I リ）（b）．The Odonate genus Ortholestes．
Proc．Acad．Vat．Sci．Philadelphia，4．5：．35－5ン2，fig．
Ing゚．Odonata．I synonsm and the bearines of it－di－cosery on the classification of 1 grion．
Entrom．Jews．9： 72.
Igor－1gos．Odonata．in）
Birlogia Centrali－Americana．Veuroptera：I－－420．I（）Mls．
London．R．H．Porter and Dulau and Co．
1go2．（）n the sy－tematic position of Thaumutome umopimatz McFachlan Order Odonata）．with some remark－on the clawification of the－uborder Zeroptera．
Entum．Mo．Mag．．ふン：20－32．
reかt．Thammatoneura again．
Entom．Vew：15：216．
Igox）．Contribution－w a knowledse of the Odonata if the Ventoptical reqion，exclu－ive of $\ 1$ exico and Central America．
Ann．Carnegie Mus．，6：7，－－2 -0 ．pls．［－（）．
［1）1．3．The fossil Odonate Phenuenlestes with a dierus－ion of the vendid on of the legion Podagrion Sely $=$
Proc．Acad．Vat．－ci．Philadelphid．65：225－272．JH．It
Calvert．P．P．IgIT．studies in Costa Rican Odenata．－I new kemusallied to Cors．

Campion．H．iogis．The antenodal reticuldion of the wine－on Agrionine drason－ flies．
Proc．Acad．Vat．Sci．Philadelphia，ふこ：220－224．
 The venation of the wings of the（）d？nata．
Amer．Natural．．32：90．3－911．
selysi Foerster，$R$ ．finistervue Foerster，$R$ ．eburneq Foerster，$R$ ．truhstorferl Kiruçer，$R$ ． pseudexul Ris，R．nigrifrons Ri－，R．marinu Ris，R．rasfa Ris，R．derornigra Martin，and $R$ ． torrenticola Fourster．It is dedicated to Dr．Kis，who has siven us the most careful re－ cent treatment of this group．See F．Ri－，191．3，Odonatd irn ifen ．Fru－und Kei－In－iln， pages son tosit．
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## Explanation of Plates

Plate I
Fig. 1.- IVings of Epiophlebia superstes Selys. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 2.-Hind wing of Polythore gigantea Selys. (Male, Comell Collection.)
Fig. 3.-Hind wing of Euthore fasciata Selys. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 4.-Hind wing of Chalcopteryw rutilans Rambur. (Male, Cornell Collection.)

## Plate II

Fig. 5.-Hind wing of Cora marina Selys. (Xale, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 6.-Hind wing of Chimacobasis modesta 1aidlaw. (Male, after Williamson.)
Fig. 7.-Front wing of Echo margarita Selys. (Female, after Selys.)
Fig. 8.-Hind wing of Archineura basilactea Kirby. (Male, after Kirby.)
Fig. 9.-llind wing of Umma longistigma Selys. (Nale, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 10.-llind wing of Sapho ciliala Fabricius. (Female, Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia.)

## Plate III

Fig. II.- Hind wing of 1 grion virgo Linnaeus. (Female, Comell Collection.)
Fig. 12. - Hindwing of Matronoides cyaneipemis Foerster. (Male, after Foerster.)
Fig. 13.- Ilind wing of Matrona japonica Foerster. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. Iq.-Front wing of Neurobasis chinensis Limnacus. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 15.-Front wing of Inais pruinosa Selys. (Male, Cornell Collection.)

## Plate I\}

Fig. 16.-Front wing of Psolodesmus dorothea Williamson. Nale, after Williamson.)
Fig. 17.-Front wing of Plaon iridipennis Burmeister. (Nale. Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 18.-Front wing of Iestulis gracilis Rambur. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 19.-Front wing of Itetuerina americana Fabricius. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 20.-Front wing of Latis globifer Hagen. (Xale, after Selys.)
Fig. 21.-HInd wing of Pseudophuea ochrucea Selys. (Female, Cornell Collection.)

## Plate \}

Fig. 22.-Ftont wing of Dysphaca dimidiate Selys. ( Male, after Selys.)
Fig. 23.-1 Hind wing of Bayadera india selys. (Female. Comell Collection.)
Fig. 24. Hind wing of Epallage fatima Charpentier. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 25.-Front wing of I misopleura furcata helys. (Female, after Williamson.)
Fig. 26.-Front wing of Rhinocypha biforata Selys. (Male, after Williamson.)
Fig. 27.-Front wing of Libellago caligata Selys. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 28.-Front wing of Micromerus lineatus Burmeister. (Female, after |lilliamson.)

## Plate Y'I

Fig. 29.-Front wing of Cyanocharis rulga Needham. (Jale, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 30.-Front wing of Ifeliocharis amazona Selys. (\ake, after Calvert.)
Fig. 31.-Front wing of Ncocharis cothurnata Foerster. (Male, Millianson Collection.)
Fig. 32.-Front wing of Dicterius atrosanguinea Selys. (Nale, after Selys.)
Fig. 33.-Hind wing of Deradatta argyoiles Selys. (Female, aiter IVilliamson.)
Fig. 34.-Front wing of Diphlebia lestoides Selys. (Comell Collection.)
Fig. 35.-Front wing of Amphiptervex agrioides Selys. (Xale, Cornell Collection.)

## Plate \III

Fig. 36.- llind wing of Philogange montanu Selys? (Male. Comell Collection.)
Fig. 37.-Front wing of Disparocypla bicdermami Ris. (Male, after Ris.)
Fig. 38.-Front wing of Pseudolestes mirubilis Kirlow. (Male. in British Museum. Fromphotograph be F. II: Campion.)
Fig. 39.-I Ihind wing of Ortholestes clern Calvert. (Male. Cornell Collection.)
Fig. fo.-Front wing of I rehilestes grendis Rambur. (.Wale, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. f1.-Front wing of Megalestes major Selys. (Male, Comell Collection.)
Fig. 42.-Front wing of Sympsenu fusia Van der linden. (Female, Cornel': Collection.)
Fig. 43.-Front wing of Austrolestes cingulutus Burmeister. (Xale. Cornell Collection.)
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## Plate \III

Fig. 44.-Front wing of Lestes sponsu Hansem. (Jale, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 4.5.--Hind wing of Lestoidea conjuncta Tillyard. (Male, after Tillyard.)
Fig. $\mathrm{t}^{6}$.-Hind wing of Megaloprepus cocruleatus Drury: (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 47.-Hind wing of Microstigna anomalum Rambur. (Specimen in Acad. Nat. sci. Philadelphia. Photograph by C. H. Kennedy.)
Fig. tr.-Hind wing of Inomisma terminatum McLachlan. (Male, Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia.)
Fig. 49.-Hind wing of Pseudostigma aberrans Selys. (Male, Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia. From photograph by C. H. Kennedy.)
Fig. 50.-Hind wing of Mecistogaster linearis Fabricius. (Female, Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia. From photograph bỵ C. H. Kennedy.)

## Plate IX

Fig. Si.-Front wing of Thammatoneura pellucida Calvert. (Male, Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia.)
Fig. 52.-Hind wing of Rhipidolestes aculeata Ris. (Male, after Ris.)
Fig. 53.-Front wing of Podoptery roseonotata Selys. (Nale, aiter Ris.)
Fig. 54.-Front wing of Trincuragrion percostale Ris. (Nale, after Ris.)
Fig. 55.-Front wing of Rhinagrion macroceplulum Selys. (Male, in Museum of Comparative Zoology. From photograph by E. Avery Richmond.)
Fig. 56 .-Hind wing of Argiolestes icteromelas Selys. (From photograph by J. G. Needham.)
Fig. 57 .-Front wing of Megapodagrion erinys Ris. (Male, alter Ris.)
Fig. 5 s.-Front wing of Illopodagrion sp.? (Xale, Cornell Collection.)

## Plate X

Fig. 59.-Front wing of Plilogenia tervaba Calvert. (Male, in Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia.)
Fig. (0.--Front wing of Podolestes orientalis Selys. (Nale, in Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia.)
Fig. Gr.-llind wing of Nesolestes alboterminata Selys. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 62.-Hind wing of Vearolestes trinereis Selys. (Male, in Mcad. Nat Sci. Philatelphia.)
Fig. 6,3.-Hind wing of Synlestes weyersi Selys. (Wale, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 6. - Hind wing of Paraphlebia duodecima Calsert. (Xale, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 65.-Front wing of 11 ahmesia montivelans Foerster. (Male, in Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia.)
Fig. 66.-Hind wing of Chlorolestes fasciutu Burmeister. (Female, in British Wuseum. Fromphotograph by F. IV. Campion.)

## Plate XI

Fig. 67. Hind wing of Dimeragrion pereubitale Calvert. ( Male. after Catrert.
Fig. 68.-Hind wing of Heleragrion flazozillatum Selss. (Cornell Collection.
Fig. (6g.-Front wing of Chorismagrion risi Xorton. (Xalke, after Morton.)
Fig. 7o.-Front wing of Perilestes fragilis? Selys. (Male? after Calvert.)
Fig. 71.-Front wing of Metacnemis ralida Selys. (Nale. Museum of Comparative Zoology: From photograph by E. Avery Richmond.)
Fig. 72.-Hind wing of Calicnemis eximia Selys. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 73.-Hind wing of Copera marginipes Rambur. (Male, in Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia.)
Fig. 74.-Front wing of Platyonemis pennipes Pallas. (From photograph by J. (i. Needham.)

## Plate XII

Fig. 75.-Hind wing of Idiocnemis mertoni Ris. (Female, after Ris.)
Fig. $\quad$-6.-Front wing of Coelicciu memhranipes Rambur. (Female, after Ris.)
Fig. 77.-Front wing of Allocnemis lentosticta Selys. (Nale, in collection of E.B. Williamson.)
Fig. T8.-Hind wing of Tatocnemis malgassica Kirls. (Cornell Collection. From photograph by J. (i. Needham.)
Fig. 79.-Front wing of Prionocnemis haematopus Selys. (After Selys.)
Fig. 8o.-Hind wing of Leptocnemis bilineata Selys. (Male. Cornell Collection. Photograph by J. G. Needham.)
Fig. 81.-Hind wing of Antiugrion gavi Selys. (Make, in National Xuscum.)
Fig. 82.-Hind wing of IIyponeura lugens Hagen. (Female. Cornell Coflection.

## Plate NIII

Fig. 83.-Hind wing of Palatargia flatomittataselys. (Nale, in collection of E. B. \Tilliamson.)
Fig. st.-Front wing of Irgia fumipennis Burmeister. (Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 85.-Front wing of Diargia bicellulatu Calvert. (After Calvert.)
Fig. 86.-Hind wing of Argiullagma mimuta Selys. (Male, in Acad. Nat. Aci. Philadelphia.)
Fig. 87.-Front wing of Orengrion lorentai Ris. (After Ris.)
Fig. 88.-Front wing of 1 mphiagrion saucium Burmeister. (Femake. Comell Collection. From photograph by J. G. Needham.)
Fig. So.-Front wing of Pyrrhosoma nimphule Sulzer. (Male. Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 90.-Ilind wing of Erythromma najas Hansem. (Iake. Cornell Collection. From photograph be J. G. N'(eedham.)

## Plate XIV

Fig. 9I.-Front wing of Sehalennia irene Magen? (Photugraph, bey. J. Acedham.)
Fig. 92.-Hind wing of Cercion lindenii selys. (Xale, in Acad. Nat Sci. Philadelphia.)
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Fig. 9.3 -Hind wing of Megalagrion oceanicum McLachlan. (Male.)
Fig. 94.-Hind wing of Cocnagrion puella Linnacus. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 95.-IInd wing of Itesperagrion heterodoxum Selys. (From photografh by J. (i. Needham.)

Fig. 96.-Hind wing of Tigragrion aurantinigrum Calvert. (After Calvert.)
Fig. 97.-Front wing of Anomalugrion hastatum Say: (Male, Cornell Collection. From photograph by J. (i. Needham.)
Fig. 9s.--Front wing of Enallagma cyathigerum Charpentier. (Cornell Collection.)

## Plate XV

Fig. (9).-Front wing of Zoniagrion exclamationis Selys. (Male, in collection of C. H. Kennedy.)

Fig. 100.--Hind wing of Ischmura verticalis Say. (Male, after Needham.)
Fig. IoI.--Hind wing of Celacnura denticollis Bummeister. (Male, in collection of C. H. Kennedy.)

Fig. 102.-Hind wing of Ceratura capreola Hagen. (Cornell Collection. From photograph by J. G. Needham.)
Fig. 103.-Hind wing of Iustrocnemis splendida Martin. (Nale, in collection of Carnegie \!useum. From drawing by C. H. Kennedy.)
Fig. 104.-Front wing of I griocnemis pulucrulans Selys. (After Needham.)
Fig. 105.-Front wing of Argiocnemis rubescens Selys. (Female, in Carnegie Il useum. From drawing by C. H. Kennedy.)
Fig. Iof.-Front wing of IIcmiphlebia mirabilis Selys. (Nale, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 107.-Part of hind wing of Caliagrion billinghursti Martin. (Male, after Tillyard.)
Fig. Ios.-Hind wing of Chromagrion conditum Selys. (After Needham.)

## Plate XVI

Fig. 109.-Front wing of Owyogrion rufulum Hagen. (Male, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. ilo.-Front wing of Acunthagrion gracile Rambur. (From photograph by J. (i. Needham.)

Fig. ili.-Front wing of Telagrion longum Selys. (After Calvert.)
Fig. in 2.-Front wing of Tanthocnemis selandicum McLachlan. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. II3.-Part of hind wing of Austroagrion cyone Selys. (Male, after Tillyard.)
Fig. II .-Front wing of Pseudagrion furcigerum Rambur. (Male, from photograph by J. G. Needham.)
Fig. Its.-Front wing of Yanthagrion erythroneurum Selys. (From photograph by J. (. Needham.)

Fig. 116 .-Front wing of Tiphiagrion cyanomelas Selys. (Nale, after Ris.)

## Plate X VII

Fig. 117.- Hind wing of Ceriagrion coromandelianm Fabricius. (Nale, after入ecdham.)
Fig. Its.- I Iind wing of Papuagrion occipitale Selys. (After Ris.)

Fig. 1 ro. - Hind wing of Leptagrion macrurum Burmeister. ( Male, after Seedham.)
Fig. 120. - Hind wing of Aeolagrion flammenm Selys. (Collection of E. 13. ITjilliamsoli.)
Fig. 121.-Ilind wing of Skiallagma simulacrum Calvert. (Male, in . Icad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia.)
Fig. I22.-Front wing of Telehasis sulara Hagen. (From photograph bey J. (i. Needham.)

- Fig. 123.-Hind wing of Acingrion pallidum Selys. (Cornell Collection.)

Fig. 124.-Hind wing of Archibasis mimetes Tillyarl. (Nale, after Calvert.)

## Plate XVIII

Fig. $125 .-$ Hind wing of Anistgrion truncutipenne Calvert. (Wale, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 126.-Front wing of Metaleptobasis manicaria IVilliamson. (.Xate, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 127.-Front wing of Leptobasis zucillans Selys. (Male, after Milliamson.)
Fig. 128.-Hind wing of Teinobasis metallica Foerster. (After Ris.)
Fig. 129.-Front wing of Amphicnemis wallacei Selys. (Female, after Ris.)
Fig. 1.30.-Front wing of Chlorocnemis elongata Selys. (Nale, in Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia.)
Fig. 1.31.-Front wing of Proneura prolongata Selys. (Male, after Selys.)
Fig. 132.-Front wing of Disparoneura sp.? (After J. (i. Needham.)
Fig. 133.-Hind wing of Veoneura amelia Cabvert. (Male, in collection of E. B. Williamson.)

## Plate NIX

Fig. 134.-Hind wing of Peristicta forceps Selys. (Female, in Museum of Comparative Zoology: From photograph by E. Avery Richmond.)
Fig. 135.-Hind wing of Idioneura ancilla Selys. (Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 136.-Front wing of Palaemnema paulina Drury. (Male, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 137.- Front wing of Platysticte muculata Selys. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. I38.-Hind wing of IIypostrophoneura sp.? (Male, Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia.)
Fig. 139.-Ilind wing of Neosticta canescens Tillyard. (Male, after 'Tillyard.)
Fig. I 4o.-Hind wing of 1 ustrosticta fieldi Tillyard. (Male, after Tillyard.)
Fig. Ifı.-Hlind wing of Votoneura solituria Tillyard. (Male, after Tillyard.)
Fig. 142.-Hind wing of Oristicte filicicold Tillyard. (Male, after Tillyard.)
Fig. 143.-Front wing of Disparoneura dorsalis selys. (Male, in Acad. Nat. sci. Philadelphia.)
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## Plate XX

Fig. It4.-Hind wing of Protosticta sp.? (Female, in British Museum. From photograph by F. II. Campion.)
Fig. It5.-Hind wing of Microneura caligata Selys. (Male, Museum of Comparative Zoology. From photograph by E. A. Richmond.)
Fig. 1 $\ddagger 6$.-Hind wing of Protoneura capillaris Rambur. ( 1 Lale, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 147.-Front wing of Epipleoneura incusa Williamson. (Xale, after Williamson.)
Fig. 148.-Front wing of Epipotoneura nehalennia Williamson. (Female, after Williamson.)
Fig. 149.-Hind wing of Psaironetra remissa Williamson. (Male, after IVilliamson.)
Fig. ${ }^{150}$.-Front wing of Isosticta spimipes Selys. (Xale, after Ris.)
Fig. 151.--Hind wing of Nososticta solida Selys. (Female, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 152.-Hind wing of Risioneura eburnea Foerster. (Male, Cornell Collection.)
Fig. 153.-WVing of Selysioneura cemicornu Foerster. (Male, after Foerster.)
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[^0]:    MEM. AM. ENT, SOC., 3.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Agrioninae is here used for the legion Agrion of de Selys, hence it is equivalent to the Coenagrioninae of this paper minus the Platycnemis group.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Basal postcostal nervule is the vein Ac of this paper. Inferior sector of the triangle is $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$.
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[^3]:    MEM. AM. ENT. SOC., 3.

[^4]:    MEM. AM. ENT. SOC., 3.

[^5]:    MEM. AM. ENT. SOC., 3.

[^6]:    MEM．AN．ENT．SOC．，B．

[^7]:    ${ }^{14}$ I escriptions only were available for these genera.

[^8]:    ${ }^{16}$ Jescription only was available for this genus.
    ${ }^{17}$ Studied from desteriptions only.

